

LECTURE 4

(1) IMPATIENCE

(2) MARGINAL PROPENSITY TO CONSUME

Erick Sager

February 20, 2018

**Econ 606: Adv. Topics in Macroeconomics
Johns Hopkins University, Spring 2018**

House Keeping

- **Due next time (2/27): Problem Set**
 - You are welcome to work together, but submit separate writeups
- Joseph starts in 2 weeks
- Plan for final two lectures:
 - Finish Precautionary Savings (Patience)
 - Study Friedman / Buffer Stock Model
 - Next Week:
 - General Equilibrium
 - Computation or Government Debt?

Last Time (2/13/18)

- **Buffer Stock Savings Model**
 - Borrowing Constraints
 - Prudence
 - Patience (started)

Review: Borrowing Constraints

- Consider “Strict” Permanent Income Hypothesis
- Add *No Borrowing Constraint*: $a_{t+1} \geq 0$
- Optimal Consumption:

$$\begin{aligned}c_t &= \min\{y_t + a_t, \mathbb{E}_t[c_{t+1}]\} \\ &= \min\{y_t + a_t, \mathbb{E}_t[\min\{y_{t+1} + a_{t+1}, \mathbb{E}_{t+1}[c_{t+2}]\}]\} \\ &= \dots\end{aligned}$$

- Future borrowing constraints affect current consumption:

$$\text{if } a_{t+1} > 0, \quad c_t = \mathbb{E}_t[\min\{y_{t+1} + a_{t+1}, \mathbb{E}_{t+1}[c_{t+2}]\}]$$

Last Time (2/13/18)

- **Buffer Stock Savings Model**
 - Borrowing Constraints
 - **Prudence**
 - Patience

Precautionary Savings

Prudence (Kimball, 1990)

- Consider: $u : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $u'(c) > 0$, $u''(c) < 0$
- Arrow-Pratt measure of absolute risk aversion:

$$A(c) \equiv -\frac{u''(c)}{u'(c)}$$

- Decreasing absolute risk aversion (DARA) implies:

$$A'(c) = -\frac{u'''(c)}{u'(c)} + \left(\frac{u''(c)}{u'(c)}\right)^2 < 0$$

- Condition on the third derivative of the utility function:

$$u'''(c) > \frac{u''(c)^2}{u'(c)} > 0$$

- *Prudence*: Convexity of the marginal utility function, e.g. $u'''(c) > 0$

Precautionary Savings

Prudence

- Prudence implies:
 - Uncertainty increases
 - Consumption today decreases
 - Savings for tomorrow increases

Last Time (2/13/18)

- **Buffer Stock Savings Model**
 - Borrowing Constraints
 - Prudence
 - **Patience**

- Recall canonical consumption-savings problem:

$$v(a_t, y_t) = \max_{c_t, a_{t+1}} u(c_t) + \beta \mathbb{E}_t [v(a_{t+1}, y_{t+1})]$$

$$\text{s.t.} \quad c_t + a_{t+1} \leq y_t + (1+r)a_t$$

$$a_{t+1} \geq \underline{a}$$

- Euler equation:

$$u'(c_t) \geq \beta(1+r)\mathbb{E}_t[u'(c_{t+1})] \quad \text{w.e. if } a_{t+1} > \underline{a}$$

- $\beta(1+r)$ determines incentives to intertemporally substitute consumption

- Recall canonical consumption-savings problem:

$$v(a_t, y_t) = \max_{c_t, a_{t+1}} u(c_t) + \beta \mathbb{E}_t [v(a_{t+1}, y_{t+1})]$$

$$\text{s.t.} \quad c_t + a_{t+1} \leq y_t + (1+r)a_t$$

$$a_{t+1} \geq \underline{a}$$

- Euler equation:

$$u'(c_t) \geq \beta(1+r) \mathbb{E}_t [u'(c_{t+1})] \quad \text{w.e. if } a_{t+1} > \underline{a}$$

- $\beta(1+r)$ determines incentives to intertemporally substitute consumption
 - Patient: $\beta(1+r) \geq 1$

- Recall canonical consumption-savings problem:

$$v(a_t, y_t) = \max_{c_t, a_{t+1}} u(c_t) + \beta \mathbb{E}_t [v(a_{t+1}, y_{t+1})]$$

$$\text{s.t.} \quad c_t + a_{t+1} \leq y_t + (1+r)a_t$$

$$a_{t+1} \geq \underline{a}$$

- Euler equation:

$$u'(c_t) \geq \beta(1+r) \mathbb{E}_t [u'(c_{t+1})] \quad \text{w.e. if } a_{t+1} > \underline{a}$$

- $\beta(1+r)$ determines incentives to intertemporally substitute consumption
 - Patient: $\beta(1+r) \geq 1$
 - Impatient: $\beta(1+r) < 1$

Theoretical Characterization

- Deterministic Income
 - $\beta(1 + r) > 1$

Theoretical Characterization

- Deterministic Income
 - $\beta(1+r) > 1 \rightarrow \{c_t, a_{t+1}\}$ diverge

Theoretical Characterization

- Deterministic Income
 - $\beta(1+r) > 1 \rightarrow \{c_t, a_{t+1}\}$ diverge
 - $\beta(1+r) = 1$

Theoretical Characterization

- Deterministic Income
 - $\beta(1+r) > 1 \rightarrow \{c_t, a_{t+1}\}$ diverge
 - $\beta(1+r) = 1 \rightarrow \{c_t, a_{t+1}\}$ converge

Theoretical Characterization

- Deterministic Income

- $\beta(1+r) > 1 \rightarrow \{c_t, a_{t+1}\}$ diverge
- $\beta(1+r) = 1 \rightarrow \{c_t, a_{t+1}\}$ converge
- $\beta(1+r) < 1$

Theoretical Characterization

- Deterministic Income

- $\beta(1+r) > 1 \rightarrow \{c_t, a_{t+1}\}$ diverge
- $\beta(1+r) = 1 \rightarrow \{c_t, a_{t+1}\}$ converge
- $\beta(1+r) < 1 \rightarrow \{c_t, a_{t+1}\}$ converge

Theoretical Characterization

- Deterministic Income

- $\beta(1+r) > 1 \rightarrow \{c_t, a_{t+1}\}$ diverge
- $\beta(1+r) = 1 \rightarrow \{c_t, a_{t+1}\}$ converge
- $\beta(1+r) < 1 \rightarrow \{c_t, a_{t+1}\}$ converge

- Stochastic Income

- $\beta(1+r) > 1$
- $\beta(1+r) = 1$
- $\beta(1+r) < 1$

Taking Stock

Deterministic $y_t = \bar{y}$

Taking Stock

Deterministic $y_t = \bar{y}$

- If $\beta(1+r) > 1$, then $c_t \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$

Taking Stock

Deterministic $y_t = \bar{y}$

- If $\beta(1+r) > 1$, then $c_t \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$

$$u'(c_t) \geq \beta(1+r)u'(c_{t+1})$$

Taking Stock

Deterministic $y_t = \bar{y}$

- If $\beta(1+r) > 1$, then $c_t \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$

$$u'(c_t) \geq \beta(1+r)u'(c_{t+1}) > u'(c_{t+1})$$

Taking Stock

Deterministic $y_t = \bar{y}$

- If $\beta(1+r) > 1$, then $c_t \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$

$$u'(c_t) \geq \beta(1+r)u'(c_{t+1}) > u'(c_{t+1}) \implies c_t < c_{t+1}$$

Taking Stock

Deterministic $y_t = \bar{y}$

- If $\beta(1+r) > 1$, then $c_t \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$

$$u'(c_t) \geq \beta(1+r)u'(c_{t+1}) > u'(c_{t+1}) \implies c_t < c_{t+1}$$

- If $\beta(1+r) = 1$, then $\exists \tau$ such that $c_{t+1} = c_t$ for all $t \geq \tau$

Taking Stock

Deterministic $y_t = \bar{y}$

- If $\beta(1+r) > 1$, then $c_t \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$

$$u'(c_t) \geq \beta(1+r)u'(c_{t+1}) > u'(c_{t+1}) \implies c_t < c_{t+1}$$

- If $\beta(1+r) = 1$, then $\exists \tau$ such that $c_{t+1} = c_t$ for all $t \geq \tau$

$$\text{if } a_{t+1} > 0, \quad u'(c_t) = u'(c_{t+1})$$

Taking Stock

Deterministic $y_t = \bar{y}$

- If $\beta(1+r) > 1$, then $c_t \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$

$$u'(c_t) \geq \beta(1+r)u'(c_{t+1}) > u'(c_{t+1}) \implies c_t < c_{t+1}$$

- If $\beta(1+r) = 1$, then $\exists \tau$ such that $c_{t+1} = c_t$ for all $t \geq \tau$

$$\text{if } a_{t+1} > 0, \quad u'(c_t) = u'(c_{t+1})$$

- If $\beta(1+r) < 1$, then $c_t = \bar{y}$ and $a_{t+1} = 0$ for all t sufficiently large

Taking Stock

Deterministic $y_t = \bar{y}$

- If $\beta(1+r) > 1$, then $c_t \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$

$$u'(c_t) \geq \beta(1+r)u'(c_{t+1}) > u'(c_{t+1}) \implies c_t < c_{t+1}$$

- If $\beta(1+r) = 1$, then $\exists \tau$ such that $c_{t+1} = c_t$ for all $t \geq \tau$

$$\text{if } a_{t+1} > 0, \quad u'(c_t) = u'(c_{t+1})$$

- If $\beta(1+r) < 1$, then $c_t = \bar{y}$ and $a_{t+1} = 0$ for all t sufficiently large

$$v'(x_t) = \beta(1+r)v'(x_{t+1})$$

Taking Stock

Deterministic $y_t = \bar{y}$

- If $\beta(1+r) > 1$, then $c_t \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$

$$u'(c_t) \geq \beta(1+r)u'(c_{t+1}) > u'(c_{t+1}) \implies c_t < c_{t+1}$$

- If $\beta(1+r) = 1$, then $\exists \tau$ such that $c_{t+1} = c_t$ for all $t \geq \tau$

$$\text{if } a_{t+1} > 0, \quad u'(c_t) = u'(c_{t+1})$$

- If $\beta(1+r) < 1$, then $c_t = \bar{y}$ and $a_{t+1} = 0$ for all t sufficiently large

$$v'(x_t) = \beta(1+r)v'(x_{t+1}) < v'(x_{t+1})$$

Taking Stock

Deterministic $y_t = \bar{y}$

- If $\beta(1+r) > 1$, then $c_t \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$

$$u'(c_t) \geq \beta(1+r)u'(c_{t+1}) > u'(c_{t+1}) \implies c_t < c_{t+1}$$

- If $\beta(1+r) = 1$, then $\exists \tau$ such that $c_{t+1} = c_t$ for all $t \geq \tau$

$$\text{if } a_{t+1} > 0, \quad u'(c_t) = u'(c_{t+1})$$

- If $\beta(1+r) < 1$, then $c_t = \bar{y}$ and $a_{t+1} = 0$ for all t sufficiently large

$$v'(x_t) = \beta(1+r)v'(x_{t+1}) < v'(x_{t+1}) \implies x_{t+1} < x_t$$

Theoretical Characterization

- **Shown:** Deterministic Income
 - $\beta(1+r) > 1 \rightarrow \{c_t, a_{t+1}\}$ diverge
 - $\beta(1+r) = 1 \rightarrow \{c_t, a_{t+1}\}$ converge
 - $\beta(1+r) < 1 \rightarrow \{c_t, a_{t+1}\}$ converge
- **Next:** Stochastic Income

Theoretical Characterization

- **Shown:** Deterministic Income
 - $\beta(1+r) > 1 \rightarrow \{c_t, a_{t+1}\}$ diverge
 - $\beta(1+r) = 1 \rightarrow \{c_t, a_{t+1}\}$ converge
 - $\beta(1+r) < 1 \rightarrow \{c_t, a_{t+1}\}$ converge
- **Next:** Stochastic Income
 - $\beta(1+r) > 1$

Theoretical Characterization

- **Shown:** Deterministic Income
 - $\beta(1+r) > 1 \rightarrow \{c_t, a_{t+1}\}$ diverge
 - $\beta(1+r) = 1 \rightarrow \{c_t, a_{t+1}\}$ converge
 - $\beta(1+r) < 1 \rightarrow \{c_t, a_{t+1}\}$ converge
- **Next:** Stochastic Income
 - $\beta(1+r) > 1 \rightarrow \{c_t, a_{t+1}\}$ diverge

Theoretical Characterization

- **Shown:** Deterministic Income
 - $\beta(1+r) > 1 \rightarrow \{c_t, a_{t+1}\}$ diverge
 - $\beta(1+r) = 1 \rightarrow \{c_t, a_{t+1}\}$ converge
 - $\beta(1+r) < 1 \rightarrow \{c_t, a_{t+1}\}$ converge

- **Next:** Stochastic Income
 - $\beta(1+r) > 1 \rightarrow \{c_t, a_{t+1}\}$ diverge
 - $\beta(1+r) = 1$

Theoretical Characterization

- **Shown:** Deterministic Income
 - $\beta(1+r) > 1 \rightarrow \{c_t, a_{t+1}\}$ diverge
 - $\beta(1+r) = 1 \rightarrow \{c_t, a_{t+1}\}$ converge
 - $\beta(1+r) < 1 \rightarrow \{c_t, a_{t+1}\}$ converge

- **Next:** Stochastic Income
 - $\beta(1+r) > 1 \rightarrow \{c_t, a_{t+1}\}$ diverge
 - $\beta(1+r) = 1 \rightarrow \{c_t, a_{t+1}\}$ diverge

Theoretical Characterization

- **Shown:** Deterministic Income
 - $\beta(1+r) > 1 \rightarrow \{c_t, a_{t+1}\}$ diverge
 - $\beta(1+r) = 1 \rightarrow \{c_t, a_{t+1}\}$ converge
 - $\beta(1+r) < 1 \rightarrow \{c_t, a_{t+1}\}$ converge

- **Next:** Stochastic Income
 - $\beta(1+r) > 1 \rightarrow \{c_t, a_{t+1}\}$ diverge
 - $\beta(1+r) = 1 \rightarrow \{c_t, a_{t+1}\}$ diverge
 - $\beta(1+r) < 1$

Theoretical Characterization

- **Showed:** Deterministic Income
 - $\beta(1+r) > 1 \rightarrow \{c_t, a_{t+1}\}$ diverge
 - $\beta(1+r) = 1 \rightarrow \{c_t, a_{t+1}\}$ converge
 - $\beta(1+r) < 1 \rightarrow \{c_t, a_{t+1}\}$ converge

- **Next:** Stochastic Income
 - $\beta(1+r) > 1 \rightarrow \{c_t, a_{t+1}\}$ diverge
 - $\beta(1+r) = 1 \rightarrow \{c_t, a_{t+1}\}$ diverge
 - $\beta(1+r) < 1 \rightarrow \{c_t, a_{t+1}\}$ converge

Patience (New)

Stochastic Case, $y_t \sim F(y_t)$, $\beta(1+r) > 1$

- As $t \rightarrow \infty$, $[\beta(1+r)]^t \rightarrow \infty$

Patience (New)

Stochastic Case, $y_t \sim F(y_t)$, $\beta(1+r) > 1$

- As $t \rightarrow \infty$, $[\beta(1+r)]^t \rightarrow \infty$
- By Doob's MCT, $M_t = [\beta(1+r)]^t u'(c_t) \rightarrow \bar{M} < \infty$

◀ MCT

Patience (New)

Stochastic Case, $y_t \sim F(y_t)$, $\beta(1+r) > 1$

- As $t \rightarrow \infty$, $[\beta(1+r)]^t \rightarrow \infty$
- By Doob's MCT, $M_t = [\beta(1+r)]^t u'(c_t) \rightarrow \bar{M} < \infty$
- Implies $u'(c_t)$ decreases as $[\beta(1+r)]^t$ increases

◀ MCT

Patience (New)

Stochastic Case, $y_t \sim F(y_t)$, $\beta(1+r) > 1$

- As $t \rightarrow \infty$, $[\beta(1+r)]^t \rightarrow \infty$
- By Doob's MCT, $M_t = [\beta(1+r)]^t u'(c_t) \rightarrow \bar{M} < \infty$
- Implies $u'(c_t)$ decreases as $[\beta(1+r)]^t$ increases
- Since $u''(c) < 0$, c_t must be increasing:

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} c_t = \infty$$

◀ MCT

Patience (New)

Stochastic Case, $y_t \sim F(y_t)$, $\beta(1+r) > 1$

- As $t \rightarrow \infty$, $[\beta(1+r)]^t \rightarrow \infty$
- By Doob's MCT, $M_t = [\beta(1+r)]^t u'(c_t) \rightarrow \bar{M} < \infty$
- Implies $u'(c_t)$ decreases as $[\beta(1+r)]^t$ increases
- Since $u''(c) < 0$, c_t must be increasing:

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} c_t = \infty$$

- Savings must also diverge,
to finance indefinite postponement of consumption

◀ MCT

Patience (New)

Stochastic Case, $y \sim F(y)$, $\beta(1+r) = 1$

- Assume utility function exhibits prudence, $u'''(c) > 0$

Patience (New)

Stochastic Case, $y \sim F(y)$, $\beta(1+r) = 1$

- Assume utility function exhibits prudence, $u'''(c) > 0$
- If $\beta(1+r) = 1$:

$$u'(c_t) \geq \mathbb{E}_t[u'(c_{t+1})] \quad [\text{Euler}]$$

Patience (New)

Stochastic Case, $y \sim F(y)$, $\beta(1+r) = 1$

- Assume utility function exhibits prudence, $u'''(c) > 0$
- If $\beta(1+r) = 1$:

$$u'(c_t) \geq \mathbb{E}_t[u'(c_{t+1})] \quad [\text{Euler}]$$

$$u'(c_t) > u'(\mathbb{E}_t[c_{t+1}]) \quad [\text{Jensen's}]$$

Patience (New)

Stochastic Case, $y \sim F(y)$, $\beta(1+r) = 1$

- Assume utility function exhibits prudence, $u'''(c) > 0$
- If $\beta(1+r) = 1$:

$$u'(c_t) \geq \mathbb{E}_t[u'(c_{t+1})] \quad [\text{Euler}]$$

$$u'(c_t) > u'(\mathbb{E}_t[c_{t+1}]) \quad [\text{Jensen's}]$$

$$c_t < \mathbb{E}_t[c_{t+1}] \quad [\text{Concavity}]$$

Patience (New)

Stochastic Case, $y \sim F(y)$, $\beta(1+r) = 1$

- Assume utility function exhibits prudence, $u'''(c) > 0$
- If $\beta(1+r) = 1$:

$$u'(c_t) \geq \mathbb{E}_t[u'(c_{t+1})] \quad [\text{Euler}]$$

$$u'(c_t) > u'(\mathbb{E}_t[c_{t+1}]) \quad [\text{Jensen's}]$$

$$c_t < \mathbb{E}_t[c_{t+1}] \quad [\text{Concavity}]$$

- On average, consumption increases over time:

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} c_t = \infty$$

Patience (New)

Stochastic Case, $y \sim F(y)$, $\beta(1+r) < 1$

- Suppose $y \stackrel{iid}{\sim} F([\underline{y}, \bar{y}])$ with $\bar{y} > \underline{y}$
- Define $\bar{x}_{t+1} \equiv (1+r)a_{t+1} + \bar{y}$

Patience (New)

Stochastic Case, $y \sim F(y)$, $\beta(1+r) < 1$

- Suppose $y \stackrel{iid}{\sim} F([\underline{y}, \bar{y}])$ with $\bar{y} > \underline{y}$
- Define $\bar{x}_{t+1} \equiv (1+r)a_{t+1} + \bar{y}$
- Will show that there exists an endogenous target cash-in-hand x^* s.t.
 - If $x_t > x^*$, then $\bar{x}_{t+1} < x^*$

Patience (New)

Stochastic Case, $y \sim F(y)$, $\beta(1+r) < 1$

- Suppose $y \stackrel{iid}{\sim} F([\underline{y}, \bar{y}])$ with $\bar{y} > \underline{y}$
- Define $\bar{x}_{t+1} \equiv (1+r)a_{t+1} + \bar{y}$
- Will show that there exists an endogenous target cash-in-hand x^* s.t.
 - If $x_t > x^*$, then $\bar{x}_{t+1} < x^*$
- First: prove a series of Lemmas

Patience (New)

Stochastic Case, $y \sim F(y)$, $\beta(1+r) < 1$

- Suppose $y \stackrel{iid}{\sim} F([\underline{y}, \bar{y}])$ with $\bar{y} > \underline{y}$
- Define $\bar{x}_{t+1} \equiv (1+r)a_{t+1} + \bar{y}$
- Will show that there exists an endogenous target cash-in-hand x^* s.t.
 - If $x_t > x^*$, then $\bar{x}_{t+1} < x^*$
- First: prove a series of Lemmas
 1. Consumption increases with cash-in-hand

Patience (New)

Stochastic Case, $y \sim F(y)$, $\beta(1+r) < 1$

- Suppose $y \stackrel{iid}{\sim} F([\underline{y}, \bar{y}])$ with $\bar{y} > \underline{y}$
- Define $\bar{x}_{t+1} \equiv (1+r)a_{t+1} + \bar{y}$
- Will show that there exists an endogenous target cash-in-hand x^* s.t.
 - If $x_t > x^*$, then $\bar{x}_{t+1} < x^*$
- First: prove a series of Lemmas
 1. Consumption increases with cash-in-hand
 2. Consumption is concave w.r.t. cash-in-hand

Patience (New)

Stochastic Case, $y \sim F(y)$, $\beta(1+r) < 1$

- Suppose $y \stackrel{iid}{\sim} F([\underline{y}, \bar{y}])$ with $\bar{y} > \underline{y}$
- Define $\bar{x}_{t+1} \equiv (1+r)a_{t+1} + \bar{y}$
- Will show that there exists an endogenous target cash-in-hand x^* s.t.
 - If $x_t > x^*$, then $\bar{x}_{t+1} < x^*$
- First: prove a series of Lemmas
 1. Consumption increases with cash-in-hand
 2. Consumption is concave w.r.t. cash-in-hand
 3. If $u(\cdot)$ in DARA class, then $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} A(c(x)) = 0$

Patience (Stochastic)

Lemma 1

- If $u''(c) < 0$ then $\partial c_t / \partial x_t > 0$.

Patience (Stochastic)

Lemma 1

- If $u''(c) < 0$ then $\partial c_t / \partial x_t > 0$.

Proof:

- Envelope condition: $v'(x_t) = u'(c_t)$

Patience (Stochastic)

Lemma 1

- If $u''(c) < 0$ then $\partial c_t / \partial x_t > 0$.

Proof:

- Envelope condition: $v'(x_t) = u'(c_t)$
- Since $u'' < 0$ then $v'' < 0$

Patience (Stochastic)

Lemma 1

- If $u''(c) < 0$ then $\partial c_t / \partial x_t > 0$.

Proof:

- Envelope condition: $v'(x_t) = u'(c_t)$
- Since $u'' < 0$ then $v'' < 0$
- Taking a derivative of $v'(x)$:

$$v''(x_t) = u''(c_t) \frac{\partial c_t}{\partial x_t}$$

Patience (Stochastic)

Lemma 1

- If $u''(c) < 0$ then $\partial c_t / \partial x_t > 0$.

Proof:

- Envelope condition: $v'(x_t) = u'(c_t)$
- Since $u'' < 0$ then $v'' < 0$
- Taking a derivative of $v'(x)$:

$$v''(x_t) = u''(c_t) \frac{\partial c_t}{\partial x_t}$$

- By the concavity of both u and v :

$$\frac{\partial c_t}{\partial x_t} = \frac{v''(x_t)}{u''(c_t)} > 0 \quad \blacksquare$$

Patience (Stochastic)

Lemma 2

- Fix a parameter, $\kappa > 1$. If $u(c)$ is in the DARA class, such that:

$$\frac{u'''(c)}{u''(c)} = \kappa \frac{u''(c)}{u'(c)}$$

and $v(x)$ satisfies:

$$\frac{v'''(x)}{v''(x)} \leq \kappa \frac{v''(x)}{v'(x)}$$

then the consumption policy function $c(x)$ is concave.

Patience (Stochastic)

Lemma 2

- Fix a parameter, $\kappa > 1$. If $u(c)$ is in the DARA class, such that:

$$\frac{u'''(c)}{u''(c)} = \kappa \frac{u''(c)}{u'(c)}$$

and $v(x)$ satisfies:

$$\frac{v'''(x)}{v''(x)} \leq \kappa \frac{v''(x)}{v'(x)}$$

then the consumption policy function $c(x)$ is concave.

Proof (Sketch):

- If $s(c) \equiv x(c) - c$ and $x(c)$ is convex, then $c(x)$ is concave

Patience (Stochastic)

Lemma 2

- Fix a parameter, $\kappa > 1$. If $u(c)$ is in the DARA class, such that:

$$\frac{u'''(c)}{u''(c)} = \kappa \frac{u''(c)}{u'(c)}$$

and $v(x)$ satisfies:

$$\frac{v'''(x)}{v''(x)} \leq \kappa \frac{v''(x)}{v'(x)}$$

then the consumption policy function $c(x)$ is concave.

Proof (Sketch):

- If $s(c) \equiv x(c) - c$ and $x(c)$ is convex, then $c(x)$ is concave
- If $x(c)$ is convex, so is savings $s''(c) = x''(c) \geq 0$

Patience (Stochastic)

Lemma 2

- Fix a parameter, $\kappa > 1$. If $u(c)$ is in the DARA class, such that:

$$\frac{u'''(c)}{u''(c)} = \kappa \frac{u''(c)}{u'(c)}$$

and $v(x)$ satisfies:

$$\frac{v'''(x)}{v''(x)} \leq \kappa \frac{v''(x)}{v'(x)}$$

then the consumption policy function $c(x)$ is concave.

Proof (Sketch):

- If $s(c) \equiv x(c) - c$ and $x(c)$ is convex, then $c(x)$ is concave
- If $x(c)$ is convex, so is savings $s''(c) = x''(c) \geq 0$
- Show $s(c)$ is convex using the Euler equation

Patience (Stochastic)

Lemma 3

- If $u(c)$ is in the DARA class, then:

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{u'(c(x_{t+1}))}{u'(c(\bar{x}_{t+1}))} = 1$$

Patience (Stochastic)

Lemma 3

- If $u(c)$ is in the DARA class, then:

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{u'(c(x_{t+1}))}{u'(c(\bar{x}_{t+1}))} = 1$$

Proof (Sketch):

- Taylor approximation of $u'(c(x))$ around the point $x' = \bar{x}'$:

$$u'(c(x')) \approx u'(c(\bar{x}')) + u''(c(\bar{x}'))c'(\bar{x}')(x' - \bar{x}')$$

Patience (Stochastic)

Lemma 3

- If $u(c)$ is in the DARA class, then:

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{u'(c(x_{t+1}))}{u'(c(\bar{x}_{t+1}))} = 1$$

Proof (Sketch):

- Taylor approximation of $u'(c(x))$ around the point $x' = \bar{x}'$:

$$u'(c(x')) \approx u'(c(\bar{x}')) + u''(c(\bar{x}'))c'(\bar{x}')(x' - \bar{x}')$$

$$\mathbb{E}[u'(c(x'))] \approx u'(c(\bar{x}')) + u''(c(\bar{x}'))c'(\bar{x}')\mathbb{E}[x' - \bar{x}']$$

Lemma 3

- If $u(c)$ is in the DARA class, then:

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{u'(c(x_{t+1}))}{u'(c(\bar{x}_{t+1}))} = 1$$

Proof (Sketch):

- Taylor approximation of $u'(c(x'))$ around the point $x' = \bar{x}'$:

$$u'(c(x')) \approx u'(c(\bar{x}')) + u''(c(\bar{x}'))c'(\bar{x}')(x' - \bar{x}')$$

$$\mathbb{E}[u'(c(x'))] \approx u'(c(\bar{x}')) + u''(c(\bar{x}'))c'(\bar{x}')\mathbb{E}[y' - \bar{y}]$$

Patience (Stochastic)

Lemma 3

- If $u(c)$ is in the DARA class, then:

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{u'(c(x_{t+1}))}{u'(c(\bar{x}_{t+1}))} = 1$$

Proof (Sketch):

- Taylor approximation of $u'(c(x))$ around the point $x' = \bar{x}'$:

$$u'(c(x')) \approx u'(c(\bar{x}')) + u''(c(\bar{x}'))c'(\bar{x}')(x' - \bar{x}')$$

$$\mathbb{E}[u'(c(x'))] \approx u'(c(\bar{x}')) + u''(c(\bar{x}'))c'(\bar{x}')(-1)(\bar{y} - \mathbb{E}[y'])$$

Patience (Stochastic)

Lemma 3

- If $u(c)$ is in the DARA class, then:

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{u'(c(x_{t+1}))}{u'(c(\bar{x}_{t+1}))} = 1$$

Proof (Sketch):

- Taylor approximation of $u'(c(x))$ around the point $x' = \bar{x}'$:

$$u'(c(x')) \approx u'(c(\bar{x}')) + u''(c(\bar{x}'))c'(\bar{x}')(x' - \bar{x}')$$

$$\mathbb{E}[u'(c(x'))] \approx u'(c(\bar{x}')) + u''(c(\bar{x}'))c'(\bar{x}')(-1)(\bar{y} - \mathbb{E}[y'])$$

$$\frac{\mathbb{E}[u'(c(x'))]}{u'(c(\bar{x}'))} \approx 1 - \frac{u''(c(\bar{x}'))}{u'(c(\bar{x}'))}c'(\bar{x}')(\bar{y} - \mathbb{E}[y'])$$

Lemma 3: Proof (Sketch):

- Taylor approximation of $u'(c(x))$ around the point $x' = \bar{x}'$:

$$\frac{\mathbb{E}[u'(c(x'))]}{u'(c(\bar{x}'))} \approx 1 - \frac{u''(c(\bar{x}'))}{u'(c(\bar{x}'))} c'(\bar{x}')(\bar{y} - \mathbb{E}[y'])$$

Lemma 3: Proof (Sketch):

- Taylor approximation of $u'(c(x))$ around the point $x' = \bar{x}'$:

$$\frac{\mathbb{E}[u'(c(x'))]}{u'(c(\bar{x}'))} \approx 1 - \frac{u''(c(\bar{x}'))}{u'(c(\bar{x}'))} c'(\bar{x}')(\bar{y} - \mathbb{E}[y'])$$

- From Lemma 1 and 2, $c'(x) > 0$ and $c''(x) < 0$

Lemma 3: Proof (Sketch):

- Taylor approximation of $u'(c(x))$ around the point $x' = \bar{x}'$:

$$\frac{\mathbb{E}[u'(c(x'))]}{u'(c(\bar{x}'))} \approx 1 - \frac{u''(c(\bar{x}'))}{u'(c(\bar{x}'))} c'(\bar{x}')(\bar{y} - \mathbb{E}[y'])$$

- From Lemma 1 and 2, $c'(x) > 0$ and $c''(x) < 0$
- $\bar{y} \geq \mathbb{E}[y']$ by construction

Lemma 3: Proof (Sketch):

- Taylor approximation of $u'(c(x))$ around the point $x' = \bar{x}'$:

$$\frac{\mathbb{E}[u'(c(x'))]}{u'(c(\bar{x}'))} \approx 1 - \frac{u''(c(\bar{x}'))}{u'(c(\bar{x}'))} c'(\bar{x}')(\bar{y} - \mathbb{E}[y'])$$

- From Lemma 1 and 2, $c'(x) > 0$ and $c''(x) < 0$
- $\bar{y} \geq \mathbb{E}[y']$ by construction
- Can show $A(x)$ is *strictly* decreasing
(Carroll and Kimball, 1996)

Lemma 3: Proof (Sketch):

- Taylor approximation of $u'(c(x))$ around the point $x' = \bar{x}'$:

$$\frac{\mathbb{E}[u'(c(x'))]}{u'(c(\bar{x}'))} \approx 1 - \frac{u''(c(\bar{x}'))}{u'(c(\bar{x}'))} c'(\bar{x}')(\bar{y} - \mathbb{E}[y'])$$

- From Lemma 1 and 2, $c'(x) > 0$ and $c''(x) < 0$
- $\bar{y} \geq \mathbb{E}[y']$ by construction
- Can show $A(x)$ is *strictly* decreasing
(Carroll and Kimball, 1996)
- Since $u(\cdot)$ in DARA class:

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} -\frac{u''(c(\bar{x}'(x)))}{u'(c(\bar{x}'(x)))} = 0$$

Lemma 3: Proof (Sketch):

- Taylor approximation of $u'(c(x))$ around the point $x' = \bar{x}'$:

$$\frac{\mathbb{E}[u'(c(x'))]}{u'(c(\bar{x}'))} \approx 1 - \frac{u''(c(\bar{x}'))}{u'(c(\bar{x}'))} c'(\bar{x}')(\bar{y} - \mathbb{E}[y'])$$

- From Lemma 1 and 2, $c'(x) > 0$ and $c''(x) < 0$
- $\bar{y} \geq \mathbb{E}[y']$ by construction
- Can show $A(x)$ is *strictly* decreasing
(Carroll and Kimball, 1996)
- Since $u(\cdot)$ in DARA class:

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} -\frac{u''(c(\bar{x}'(x)))}{u'(c(\bar{x}'(x)))} = 0 \quad \implies \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}[u'(c(x'))]}{u'(c(\bar{x}'))} \approx 1$$

Patience (Stochastic)

Stochastic Case, $y \sim F(y)$, $\beta(1+r) < 1$

- Suppose $y \stackrel{iid}{\sim} F([\underline{y}, \bar{y}])$ with $\bar{y} > \underline{y}$
- Define $\bar{x}_{t+1} \equiv (1+r)a_{t+1} + \bar{y}$

Patience (Stochastic)

Stochastic Case, $y \sim F(y)$, $\beta(1+r) < 1$

- Suppose $y \stackrel{iid}{\sim} F([\underline{y}, \bar{y}])$ with $\bar{y} > \underline{y}$
- Define $\bar{x}_{t+1} \equiv (1+r)a_{t+1} + \bar{y}$
- If $\beta(1+r) < 1$ and x_t sufficiently large:

$$u'(c(x_t)) = \beta(1+r) \underbrace{\frac{\mathbb{E}[u'(c(x'(x_t)))]}{u'(c(\bar{x}_{t+1}))}}_{\approx 1} u'(c(\bar{x}_{t+1})) \quad [\text{Euler}]$$

Patience (Stochastic)

Stochastic Case, $y \sim F(y)$, $\beta(1+r) < 1$

- Suppose $y \stackrel{iid}{\sim} F([\underline{y}, \bar{y}])$ with $\bar{y} > \underline{y}$
- Define $\bar{x}_{t+1} \equiv (1+r)a_{t+1} + \bar{y}$
- If $\beta(1+r) < 1$ and x_t sufficiently large:

$$u'(c(x_t)) = \beta(1+r)u'(c(\bar{x}_{t+1})) \quad [\text{Euler}]$$

$$u'(c(x_t)) < u'(c(\bar{x}_{t+1})) \quad [\text{Patience}]$$

Patience (Stochastic)

Stochastic Case, $y \sim F(y)$, $\beta(1+r) < 1$

- Suppose $y \stackrel{iid}{\sim} F([\underline{y}, \bar{y}])$ with $\bar{y} > \underline{y}$
- Define $\bar{x}_{t+1} \equiv (1+r)a_{t+1} + \bar{y}$
- If $\beta(1+r) < 1$ and x_t sufficiently large:

$$u'(c(x_t)) = \beta(1+r)u'(c(\bar{x}_{t+1})) \quad \text{[Euler]}$$

$$u'(c(x_t)) < u'(c(\bar{x}_{t+1})) \quad \text{[Patience]}$$

$$c(x_t) > c(\bar{x}_{t+1}) \quad \text{[Concavity of } u \text{]}$$

Patience (Stochastic)

Stochastic Case, $y \sim F(y)$, $\beta(1+r) < 1$

- Suppose $y \stackrel{iid}{\sim} F([\underline{y}, \bar{y}])$ with $\bar{y} > \underline{y}$
- Define $\bar{x}_{t+1} \equiv (1+r)a_{t+1} + \bar{y}$
- If $\beta(1+r) < 1$ and x_t sufficiently large:

$$u'(c(x_t)) = \beta(1+r)u'(c(\bar{x}_{t+1})) \quad [\text{Euler}]$$

$$u'(c(x_t)) < u'(c(\bar{x}_{t+1})) \quad [\text{Patience}]$$

$$c(x_t) > c(\bar{x}_{t+1}) \quad [\text{Concavity of } u]$$

$$x_t > \bar{x}_{t+1} \quad [\text{Monotonicity of } c]$$

Patience (Stochastic)

Stochastic Case, $y \sim F(y)$, $\beta(1+r) < 1$

- Suppose $y \stackrel{iid}{\sim} F([\underline{y}, \bar{y}])$ with $\bar{y} > \underline{y}$
- Define $\bar{x}_{t+1} \equiv (1+r)a_{t+1} + \bar{y}$
- If $\beta(1+r) < 1$ and x_t sufficiently large:

$$u'(c(x_t)) = \beta(1+r)u'(c(\bar{x}_{t+1})) \quad \text{[Euler]}$$

$$u'(c(x_t)) < u'(c(\bar{x}_{t+1})) \quad \text{[Patience]}$$

$$c(x_t) > c(\bar{x}_{t+1}) \quad \text{[Concavity of } u]$$

$$x_t > \bar{x}_{t+1} \quad \text{[Monotonicity of } c]$$

- Convergence, $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x_t < \infty$ ■

Theoretical Characterization

- **Shown:** Deterministic Income
 - $\beta(1+r) > 1 \rightarrow \{c_t, a_{t+1}\}$ diverge
 - $\beta(1+r) = 1 \rightarrow \{c_t, a_{t+1}\}$ converge
 - $\beta(1+r) < 1 \rightarrow \{c_t, a_{t+1}\}$ converge
- **Just Shown:** Stochastic Income

Theoretical Characterization

- **Shown:** Deterministic Income
 - $\beta(1+r) > 1 \rightarrow \{c_t, a_{t+1}\}$ diverge
 - $\beta(1+r) = 1 \rightarrow \{c_t, a_{t+1}\}$ converge
 - $\beta(1+r) < 1 \rightarrow \{c_t, a_{t+1}\}$ converge
- **Just Shown:** Stochastic Income
 - $\beta(1+r) > 1$

Theoretical Characterization

- **Shown:** Deterministic Income
 - $\beta(1+r) > 1 \rightarrow \{c_t, a_{t+1}\}$ diverge
 - $\beta(1+r) = 1 \rightarrow \{c_t, a_{t+1}\}$ converge
 - $\beta(1+r) < 1 \rightarrow \{c_t, a_{t+1}\}$ converge
- **Just Shown:** Stochastic Income
 - $\beta(1+r) > 1 \rightarrow \{c_t, a_{t+1}\}$ diverge

Theoretical Characterization

- **Shown:** Deterministic Income
 - $\beta(1+r) > 1 \rightarrow \{c_t, a_{t+1}\}$ diverge
 - $\beta(1+r) = 1 \rightarrow \{c_t, a_{t+1}\}$ converge
 - $\beta(1+r) < 1 \rightarrow \{c_t, a_{t+1}\}$ converge

- **Just Shown:** Stochastic Income
 - $\beta(1+r) > 1 \rightarrow \{c_t, a_{t+1}\}$ diverge
 - $\beta(1+r) = 1$

Theoretical Characterization

- **Shown:** Deterministic Income
 - $\beta(1+r) > 1 \rightarrow \{c_t, a_{t+1}\}$ diverge
 - $\beta(1+r) = 1 \rightarrow \{c_t, a_{t+1}\}$ converge
 - $\beta(1+r) < 1 \rightarrow \{c_t, a_{t+1}\}$ converge

- **Just Shown:** Stochastic Income
 - $\beta(1+r) > 1 \rightarrow \{c_t, a_{t+1}\}$ diverge
 - $\beta(1+r) = 1 \rightarrow \{c_t, a_{t+1}\}$ diverge

Theoretical Characterization

- **Shown:** Deterministic Income
 - $\beta(1+r) > 1 \rightarrow \{c_t, a_{t+1}\}$ diverge
 - $\beta(1+r) = 1 \rightarrow \{c_t, a_{t+1}\}$ converge
 - $\beta(1+r) < 1 \rightarrow \{c_t, a_{t+1}\}$ converge

- **Just Shown:** Stochastic Income
 - $\beta(1+r) > 1 \rightarrow \{c_t, a_{t+1}\}$ diverge
 - $\beta(1+r) = 1 \rightarrow \{c_t, a_{t+1}\}$ diverge
 - $\beta(1+r) < 1$

Theoretical Characterization

- **Shown:** Deterministic Income
 - $\beta(1+r) > 1 \rightarrow \{c_t, a_{t+1}\}$ diverge
 - $\beta(1+r) = 1 \rightarrow \{c_t, a_{t+1}\}$ converge
 - $\beta(1+r) < 1 \rightarrow \{c_t, a_{t+1}\}$ converge

- **Just Shown:** Stochastic Income
 - $\beta(1+r) > 1 \rightarrow \{c_t, a_{t+1}\}$ diverge
 - $\beta(1+r) = 1 \rightarrow \{c_t, a_{t+1}\}$ diverge
 - $\beta(1+r) < 1 \rightarrow \{c_t, a_{t+1}\}$ converge

Buffer Stock Target

Further Characterization: $\beta(1+r) < 1$

1. Showed: $\mathbb{E}_t[x_{t+1}(x)] < x$ for large x

Buffer Stock Target

Further Characterization: $\beta(1+r) < 1$

1. Showd: $\mathbb{E}_t[x_{t+1}(x)] < x$ for large x
2. Can show: $x_{t+1}(x) > x$ for small x

Buffer Stock Target

Further Characterization: $\beta(1+r) < 1$

1. Showd: $\mathbb{E}_t[x_{t+1}(x)] < x$ for large x
2. Can show: $x_{t+1}(x) > x$ for small x
3. Can show: $\exists x^*$ such that $\mathbb{E}_t[x_{t+1}(x^*)] = x^*$

Buffer Stock Target

Further Characterization: $\beta(1+r) < 1$

1. Showed: $\mathbb{E}_t[x_{t+1}(x)] < x$ for large x
2. Can show: $x_{t+1}(x) > x$ for small x
3. Can show: $\exists x^*$ such that $\mathbb{E}_t[x_{t+1}(x^*)] = x^*$
4. Can show: x^* is stable,

for $\epsilon > 0$, if $x \in (x^*, x^* + \epsilon)$ then $x_{t+1}(x) < x$

Buffer Stock Target

Proposition: $x_{t+1}(x) > x$ for small x

Buffer Stock Target

Proposition: $x_{t+1}(x) > x$ for small x

- Can show that (see Carroll (2012))

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{c_t(x)}{x} = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{c'_t(x)}{1} = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} c'_t(x) < 1$$

Buffer Stock Target

Proposition: $x_{t+1}(x) > x$ for small x

- Can show that (see Carroll (2012))

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{c_t(x)}{x} = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{c'_t(x)}{1} = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} c'_t(x) < 1$$

- Using budget constraint:

$$x_{t+1}(x) = (1 + r)(x - c_t(x)) + y_{t+1}$$

Buffer Stock Target

Proposition: $x_{t+1}(x) > x$ for small x

- Can show that (see Carroll (2012))

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{c_t(x)}{x} = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{c'_t(x)}{1} = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} c'_t(x) < 1$$

- Using budget constraint:

$$x_{t+1}(x) \geq (1+r)(x - c_t(x)) + \underline{y}$$

Buffer Stock Target

Proposition: $x_{t+1}(x) > x$ for small x

- Can show that (see Carroll (2012))

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{c_t(x)}{x} = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{c'_t(x)}{1} = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} c'_t(x) < 1$$

- Using budget constraint:

$$x_{t+1}(x) \geq (1+r)(x - c_t(x)) + \underline{y}$$

$$\frac{x_{t+1}(x)}{x} \geq (1+r) \left(1 - \frac{c_t(x)}{x} \right) + \frac{\underline{y}}{x}$$

Buffer Stock Target

Proposition: $x_{t+1}(x) > x$ for small x

- Can show that (see Carroll (2012))

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{c_t(x)}{x} = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{c'_t(x)}{1} = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} c'_t(x) < 1$$

- Using budget constraint:

$$x_{t+1}(x) \geq (1+r)(x - c_t(x)) + \underline{y}$$

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{x_{t+1}(x)}{x} \geq (1+r) \left(1 - \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{c_t(x)}{x} \right) + \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{\underline{y}}{x}$$

Buffer Stock Target

Proposition: $x_{t+1}(x) > x$ for small x

- Can show that (see Carroll (2012))

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{c_t(x)}{x} = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{c'_t(x)}{1} = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} c'_t(x) < 1$$

- Using budget constraint:

$$x_{t+1}(x) \geq (1+r)(x - c_t(x)) + \underline{y}$$

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{x_{t+1}(x)}{x} \geq (1+r) \underbrace{\left(1 - \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{c_t(x)}{x}\right)}_{>0} + \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{y}{x}$$

Buffer Stock Target

Proposition: $x_{t+1}(x) > x$ for small x

- Can show that (see Carroll (2012))

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{c_t(x)}{x} = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{c'_t(x)}{1} = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} c'_t(x) < 1$$

- Using budget constraint:

$$x_{t+1}(x) \geq (1+r)(x - c_t(x)) + \underline{y}$$

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{x_{t+1}(x)}{x} \geq (1+r) \underbrace{\left(1 - \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{c_t(x)}{x}\right)}_{>0} + \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{y}{x}$$

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{x_{t+1}(x)}{x} > \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{y}{x} > 1$$

Buffer Stock Target

Proposition: $x_{t+1}(x) > x$ for small x

- Can show that (see Carroll (2012))

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{c_t(x)}{x} = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{c'_t(x)}{1} = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} c'_t(x) < 1$$

- Using budget constraint:

$$x_{t+1}(x) \geq (1+r)(x - c_t(x)) + \underline{y}$$

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{x_{t+1}(x)}{x} \geq (1+r) \underbrace{\left(1 - \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{c_t(x)}{x}\right)}_{>0} + \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{y}{x}$$

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{x_{t+1}(x)}{x} > \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{y}{x} > 1$$

- Therefore: $x_{t+1}(x) > x$ for x sufficiently small

Buffer Stock Target

Further Characterization

1. Shown: $\mathbb{E}_t[x_{t+1}(x)] < x$ for large x
2. Shown: $x_{t+1}(x) > x$ for small x

Buffer Stock Target

Further Characterization

1. Shown: $\mathbb{E}_t[x_{t+1}(x)] < x$ for large x
2. Shown: $x_{t+1}(x) > x$ for small x
3. Can show: $\exists x^*$ such that $\mathbb{E}_t[x_{t+1}(x^*)] = x^*$

Buffer Stock Target

Further Characterization

1. Showed: $\mathbb{E}_t[x_{t+1}(x)] < x$ for large x
2. Showed: $x_{t+1}(x) > x$ for small x
3. Can show: $\exists x^*$ such that $\mathbb{E}_t[x_{t+1}(x^*)] = x^*$
 - By continuity!

Further Characterization

1. Shown: $\mathbb{E}_t[x_{t+1}(x)] < x$ for large x
2. Shown: $x_{t+1}(x) > x$ for small x
3. Shown: By continuity, $\exists x^*$ such that $\mathbb{E}_t[x_{t+1}(x^*)] = x^*$

Buffer Stock Target

Further Characterization

1. Showed: $\mathbb{E}_t[x_{t+1}(x)] < x$ for large x
2. Showed: $x_{t+1}(x) > x$ for small x
3. Showed: By continuity, $\exists x^*$ such that $\mathbb{E}_t[x_{t+1}(x^*)] = x^*$
4. Can show: x^* is stable,

for $\epsilon > 0$, if $x \in (x^*, x^* + \epsilon)$ then $x_{t+1}(x) < x$

Buffer Stock Target

Proposition: For $\epsilon > 0$, if $x \in (x^*, x^* + \epsilon)$ then $x_{t+1}(x) < x$

- Define x^* by $\mathbb{E}_t[x_{t+1}(x^*)] = x^*$

Buffer Stock Target

Proposition: For $\epsilon > 0$, if $x \in (x^*, x^* + \epsilon)$ then $x_{t+1}(x) < x$

- Define x^* by $\mathbb{E}_t[x_{t+1}(x^*)] = x^*$
- Then expected budget constraint:

$$\mathbb{E}_t[x_{t+1}(x^*)] = (1 + r)(x^* - c(x^*)) + 1 \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \mathbb{E}_t[y_{t+1}] = 1$$

Buffer Stock Target

Proposition: For $\epsilon > 0$, if $x \in (x^*, x^* + \epsilon)$ then $x_{t+1}(x) < x$

- Define x^* by $\mathbb{E}_t[x_{t+1}(x^*)] = x^*$
- Then expected budget constraint:

$$\mathbb{E}_t[x_{t+1}(x^*)] = (1 + r)(x^* - c(x^*)) + 1 \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \mathbb{E}_t[y_{t+1}] = 1$$

$$x^* = (1 + r)(x^* - c(x^*)) + 1$$

Buffer Stock Target

Proposition: For $\epsilon > 0$, if $x \in (x^*, x^* + \epsilon)$ then $x_{t+1}(x) < x$

- Define x^* by $\mathbb{E}_t[x_{t+1}(x^*)] = x^*$
- Then expected budget constraint:

$$\mathbb{E}_t[x_{t+1}(x^*)] = (1 + r)(x^* - c(x^*)) + 1 \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \mathbb{E}_t[y_{t+1}] = 1$$

$$x^* = (1 + r)(x^* - c(x^*)) + 1$$

$$(1 + r)c(x^*) = 1 + rx^*$$

Buffer Stock Target

Proposition: For $\epsilon > 0$, if $x \in (x^*, x^* + \epsilon)$ then $x_{t+1}(x) < x$

- Define x^* by $\mathbb{E}_t[x_{t+1}(x^*)] = x^*$
- Then expected budget constraint:

$$\mathbb{E}_t[x_{t+1}(x^*)] = (1+r)(x^* - c(x^*)) + 1 \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \mathbb{E}_t[y_{t+1}] = 1$$

$$x^* = (1+r)(x^* - c(x^*)) + 1$$

$$(1+r)c(x^*) = 1 + rx^*$$

- To show **at board:**

$$\mathbb{E}_t \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{x_{t+1}(x)}{x} \Big| x = x^* \right] < 0$$

Further Characterization

1. Shown: $\mathbb{E}_t[x_{t+1}(x)] < x$ for large x
2. Shown: $x_{t+1}(x) > x$ for small x
3. Shown: By continuity, $\exists x^*$ such that $\mathbb{E}_t[x_{t+1}(x^*)] = x^*$
4. Shown: x^* is stable,

for $\epsilon > 0$, if $x \in (x^*, x^* + \epsilon)$ then $x_{t+1}(x) < x$

Next Steps

- Studied dynamics in $c_t(x)$, $a_{t+1}(x)$ and $x_{t+1}(x)$

Next Steps

- Studied dynamics in $c_t(x)$, $a_{t+1}(x)$ and $x_{t+1}(x)$
- Assumed simple iid income process

Next Steps

- Studied dynamics in $c_t(x)$, $a_{t+1}(x)$ and $x_{t+1}(x)$
- Assumed simple iid income process
- What about: Marginal Propensity to Consume

Next Steps

- Studied dynamics in $c_t(x)$, $a_{t+1}(x)$ and $x_{t+1}(x)$
- Assumed simple iid income process
- What about: Marginal Propensity to Consume
 - Out of transitory shocks

Next Steps

- Studied dynamics in $c_t(x)$, $a_{t+1}(x)$ and $x_{t+1}(x)$
- Assumed simple iid income process
- What about: Marginal Propensity to Consume
 - Out of transitory shocks
 - Out of permanent shocks

Next Steps

- Studied dynamics in $c_t(x)$, $a_{t+1}(x)$ and $x_{t+1}(x)$
- Assumed simple iid income process
- What about: Marginal Propensity to Consume
 - Out of transitory shocks
 - Out of permanent shocks
 - Out of wealth

Next Steps

- Studied dynamics in $c_t(x)$, $a_{t+1}(x)$ and $x_{t+1}(x)$
- Assumed simple iid income process
- What about: Marginal Propensity to Consume
 - Out of transitory shocks
 - Out of permanent shocks
 - Out of wealth
- Must develop a quantitatively relevant model

Permanent and Transitory Shocks

- Income:

$$Y_t = \Gamma_t z_t$$

- Permanent:

$$\Gamma_t = \Gamma_{t-1} g_t$$

$$\log(g_{t+1}) = (1 - \rho_g) \log(1 + \gamma) + \rho_g \log(g_t) + \eta_{t+1}$$

$$\eta \sim \mathcal{N}(-\sigma_\eta^2/2, \sigma_\eta^2)$$

- Transitory:

$$\log(z_{t+1}) = (1 - \rho_z) \mu + \rho_z \log(z_t) + \varepsilon_{t+1}$$

$$\varepsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(-\sigma_\varepsilon^2/2, \sigma_\varepsilon^2)$$

Income Process

Permanent and Transitory Shocks

- Income:

$$Y_t = \Gamma_t z_t$$

- Permanent: assume $\rho_g = 0$

$$\Gamma_t = \Gamma_{t-1} g_t$$

$$\log(g_{t+1}) = \log(1 + \gamma) + \eta_{t+1}$$

$$\eta \sim \mathcal{N}(-\sigma_\eta^2/2, \sigma_\eta^2)$$

- Transitory:

$$\log(z_{t+1}) = (1 - \rho_z)\mu + \rho_z \log(z_t) + \varepsilon_{t+1}$$

$$\varepsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(-\sigma_\varepsilon^2/2, \sigma_\varepsilon^2)$$

Income Process

Permanent and Transitory Shocks

- Income:

$$Y_t = \Gamma_t z_t$$

- Permanent: assume $\rho_g = 0$

$$\Gamma_t = \Gamma_{t-1} g_t$$

$$\log(g_{t+1}) = \log(1 + \gamma) + \eta_{t+1}$$

$$\eta \sim \mathcal{N}(-\sigma_\eta^2/2, \sigma_\eta^2)$$

- Transitory: assume $\rho_z = \mu = 0$

$$\log(z_{t+1}) = \varepsilon_{t+1}$$

$$\varepsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(-\sigma_\varepsilon^2/2, \sigma_\varepsilon^2)$$

Interpreting Permanent Shocks

- Permanent shocks capture a stochastic growth rate

Interpreting Permanent Shocks

- Permanent shocks capture a stochastic growth rate
- γ is a deterministic trend growth rate

Interpreting Permanent Shocks

- Permanent shocks capture a stochastic growth rate
- γ is a deterministic trend growth rate
- η are iid shocks to the trend

Interpreting Permanent Shocks

- Permanent shocks capture a stochastic growth rate
- γ is a deterministic trend growth rate
- η are iid shocks to the trend
- Growth rate shocks are: $g_t = (1 + \gamma)e^{\eta t}$

Interpreting Permanent Shocks

- Permanent shocks capture a stochastic growth rate
- γ is a deterministic trend growth rate
- η are iid shocks to the trend
- Growth rate shocks are: $g_t = (1 + \gamma)e^{\eta_t} \approx 1 + (\gamma + \eta_t)$

Interpreting Permanent Shocks

- Permanent shocks capture a stochastic growth rate
- γ is a deterministic trend growth rate
- η are iid shocks to the trend
- Growth rate shocks are: $g_t = (1 + \gamma)e^{\eta_t} \approx 1 + (\gamma + \eta_t)$
- By iteration, can rewrite as:

$$\Gamma_t = \Gamma_{t-1}g_t \quad \implies \quad \Gamma_t = \prod_{j=0}^t e^{g_j} = (1 + \gamma)^t \prod_{j=0}^t e^{\eta_j}$$

Consumption-Savings Problem

$$V_t(A_t, \Gamma_t, z_t, g_t) = \max_{C_t, A_{t+1}} \frac{C_t^{1-\sigma}}{1-\sigma} + \beta \mathbb{E}_t [V_{t+1}(A_{t+1}, \Gamma_{t+1}, z_{t+1}, g_{t+1})]$$
$$\text{s.t. } C_t + A_{t+1} \leq Y_t + (1+r)A_t$$
$$Y_t = \Gamma_t z_t$$
$$\Gamma_{t+1} = \Gamma_t g_{t+1}$$

Consumption-Savings Problem

- Since ε and η are iid, using cash-in-hand gives:

$$V_t(X_t, \Gamma_t) = \max_{C_t} \frac{C_t^{1-\sigma}}{1-\sigma} + \beta \mathbb{E}_t [V_{t+1}(X_{t+1}, \Gamma_{t+1})]$$
$$\text{s.t. } X_{t+1} = (1+r)(X_t - C_t) + Y_{t+1}$$
$$\Gamma_{t+1} = \Gamma_t g_{t+1}$$

Consumption-Savings Problem

- Homogeneous utility and linear constraints imply separability:

$$\Gamma_t^{1-\sigma} V_t(X_t/\Gamma_t, \mathbf{1}) = \max_{C_t} \frac{C_t^{1-\sigma}}{1-\sigma} + \beta \mathbb{E}_t [\Gamma_{t+1}^{1-\sigma} V_{t+1}(X_{t+1}/\Gamma_{t+1}, \mathbf{1})]$$

$$\text{s.t. } X_{t+1} = (1+r)(X_t - C_t) + Y_{t+1}$$

$$Y_t = \Gamma_t z_t$$

$$\Gamma_{t+1} = \Gamma_t g_{t+1}$$

Consumption-Savings Problem

- Define: $v(x) = V_t(X_t/\Gamma_t, 1)$ and $x = X_t/\Gamma_t$:

$$\Gamma_t^{1-\sigma} v(x) = \max_c \Gamma_t^{1-\sigma} \frac{c^{1-\sigma}}{1-\sigma} + \beta \mathbb{E}_t [\Gamma_{t+1}^{1-\sigma} v(x')] \\ \text{s.t. } \Gamma_{t+1} x' = (1+r)\Gamma_t(x-c) + \Gamma_{t+1} z'$$

Consumption-Savings Problem

- Substitute: $\Gamma_{t+1}/\Gamma_t = (1 + \gamma)e^{\eta t+1}$ and $z = e^\varepsilon$:

$$v(x) = \max_c \frac{c^{1-\sigma}}{1-\sigma} + \beta(1 + \gamma)^{1-\sigma} \mathbb{E}_t \left[e^{(1-\sigma)\eta'} v(x') \right]$$

$$\text{s.t. } x' = e^{-\eta'} \frac{1+r}{1+\gamma} (x - c) + e^{\varepsilon'}$$

Consumption-Savings Problem

$$v(x) = \max_c \frac{c^{1-\sigma}}{1-\sigma} + \beta(1+\gamma)^{1-\sigma} \mathbb{E}_t \left[e^{(1-\sigma)\eta'} v(x') \right]$$
$$\text{s.t. } x' = e^{-\eta'} \frac{1+r}{1+\gamma} (x-c) + e^{\varepsilon'}$$

Consumption-Savings Problem

$$v(x) = \max_c \frac{c^{1-\sigma}}{1-\sigma} + \beta(1+\gamma)^{1-\sigma} \mathbb{E}_t \left[e^{(1-\sigma)\eta'} v(x') \right]$$

$$\text{s.t. } x' = e^{-\eta'} \frac{1+r}{1+\gamma} (x-c) + e^{\varepsilon'}$$

- Euler equation:

$$c^{-\sigma} = \frac{\beta(1+r)}{(1+\gamma)^\sigma} \mathbb{E} \left[\left(e^{\eta'} c' \right)^{-\sigma} \right]$$

Consumption-Savings Problem

$$v(x) = \max_c \frac{c^{1-\sigma}}{1-\sigma} + \beta(1+\gamma)^{1-\sigma} \mathbb{E}_t \left[e^{(1-\sigma)\eta'} v(x') \right]$$

$$\text{s.t. } x' = e^{-\eta'} \frac{1+r}{1+\gamma} (x-c) + e^{\varepsilon'}$$

- Euler equation:

$$c^{-\sigma} = \frac{\beta(1+r)}{(1+\gamma)^\sigma} \mathbb{E} \left[\left(e^{\eta'} c' \right)^{-\sigma} \right]$$

- Impatience condition (stationarity of normalized economy):

$$\beta(1+r) \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{1}{[(1+\gamma)e^{\eta'}]^\sigma} \right] < 1$$

Deterministic Income Characterization

- consumption policy function: $c(x)$
- MPC out of transitory income: $c'(x)$
- MPC out of permanent income: $\frac{d}{d\Gamma}c(x)$

Deterministic Income Characterization

- consumption policy function: $c(x)$
- MPC out of transitory income: $c'(x)$
- MPC out of permanent income: $\frac{d}{d\Gamma}c(x)$

Stochastic Income Characterization

- MPC out of transitory income: $c'(x)$
- MPC out of permanent income: $\frac{d}{d\Gamma}c(x)$
- MPC's relationship with savings

Next Steps

- Start with **deterministic income** case

Next Steps

- Start with **deterministic income** case
- Analytically tractable MPC

Next Steps

- Start with **deterministic income** case
- Analytically tractable MPC
- Builds intuition

Next Steps

- Start with **deterministic income** case
- Analytically tractable MPC
- Builds intuition
- Stochastic income case relies on computation

Deterministic Income

- Assume $z_t = 1$ and $\eta_t = 0$ for all t

$$Y_t = \Gamma_t = (1 + \gamma)^t$$

Deterministic Income

- Assume $z_t = 1$ and $\eta_t = 0$ for all t

$$Y_t = \Gamma_t = (1 + \gamma)^t$$

- Assume that $\gamma < r$

Deterministic Income

- Assume $z_t = 1$ and $\eta_t = 0$ for all t

$$Y_t = \Gamma_t = (1 + \gamma)^t$$

- Assume that $\gamma < r$
- Euler equation:

$$C_t^{-\sigma} = \beta(1 + r)C_{t+1}^{-\sigma} \implies C_{t+j} = \left((\beta(1 + r))^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} \right)^j C_t$$

Deterministic Income

- Assume $z_t = 1$ and $\eta_t = 0$ for all t

$$Y_t = \Gamma_t = (1 + \gamma)^t$$

- Assume that $\gamma < r$
- Euler equation:

$$C_t^{-\sigma} = \beta(1 + r)C_{t+1}^{-\sigma} \implies C_{t+j} = \left((\beta(1 + r))^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} \right)^j C_t$$

- Intertemporal Budget Constraint:

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{1 + r} \right)^j C_{t+j} = (1 + r)A_t + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1 + \gamma}{1 + r} \right)^j \Gamma_t$$

Deterministic Income

- Assume $z_t = 1$ and $\eta_t = 0$ for all t

$$Y_t = \Gamma_t = (1 + \gamma)^t$$

- Assume that $\gamma < r$
- Euler equation:

$$C_t^{-\sigma} = \beta(1 + r)C_{t+1}^{-\sigma} \implies C_{t+j} = \left((\beta(1 + r))^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} \right)^j C_t$$

- Intertemporal Budget Constraint:

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{1 + r} \right)^j C_{t+j} = (1 + r)A_t + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1 + \gamma}{1 + r} \right)^j \Gamma_t = (1 + r)A_t + \frac{1 + r}{r - \gamma} \Gamma_t$$

Deterministic Income

- Assume $z_t = 1$ and $\eta_t = 0$ for all t

$$Y_t = \Gamma_t = (1 + \gamma)^t$$

- Assume that $\gamma < r$
- Euler equation:

$$C_t^{-\sigma} = \beta(1 + r)C_{t+1}^{-\sigma} \implies C_{t+j} = \left((\beta(1 + r))^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} \right)^j C_t$$

- Intertemporal Budget Constraint:

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{1 + r} \right)^j C_{t+j} = (1 + r)A_t + \underbrace{\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1 + \gamma}{1 + r} \right)^j \Gamma_t}_{\equiv W_t + H_t} = (1 + r)A_t + \frac{1 + r}{r - \gamma} \Gamma_t$$

Deterministic Income

- Substitute Euler equation:

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{1+r} \right)^j C_{t+j} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{1+r} \right)^j \left((\beta(1+r))^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} \right)^j C_t$$

Deterministic Income

- Substitute Euler equation:

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{1+r}\right)^j C_{t+j} &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{1+r}\right)^j \left((\beta(1+r))^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}\right)^j C_t \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{1 - \beta^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}(1+r)^{\frac{1}{\sigma}-1}}\right) C_t\end{aligned}$$

Deterministic Income

- Substitute Euler equation:

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{1+r}\right)^j C_{t+j} &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{1+r}\right)^j \left((\beta(1+r))^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}\right)^j C_t \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{1 - \beta^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}(1+r)^{\frac{1}{\sigma}-1}}\right) C_t\end{aligned}$$

- Rewrite Intertemporal Budget Constraint:

$$C_t = \left(1 - \frac{(\beta(1+r))^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}}{1+r}\right) \underbrace{\left((1+r)A_t + \frac{1+r}{r-\gamma}\Gamma_t\right)}_{\equiv W_t + H_t}$$

Deterministic Income

- Substitute Euler equation:

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{1+r}\right)^j C_{t+j} &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{1+r}\right)^j \left(\left(\beta(1+r)\right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}\right)^j C_t \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{1 - \beta^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}(1+r)^{\frac{1}{\sigma}-1}}\right) C_t\end{aligned}$$

- Rewrite Intertemporal Budget Constraint:

$$C_t = \left(1 - \frac{(\beta(1+r))^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}}{1+r}\right) \underbrace{\left((1+r)A_t + \frac{1+r}{r-\gamma}\Gamma_t\right)}_{\equiv W_t + H_t}$$

$$c_t = \left(1 - \frac{(\beta(1+r))^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}}{1+r}\right) \underbrace{\left(w_t + \frac{1+r}{r-\gamma}\right)}_{\equiv w_t + \bar{h}}$$

Deterministic Income

- Since $X_t = W_t + \Gamma_t$, then $x_t = w_t + 1$

Deterministic Income

- Since $X_t = W_t + \Gamma_t$, then $x_t = w_t + 1$
- Rewrite Intertemporal Budget Constraint:

$$c(x_t) = \left(1 - \frac{(\beta(1+r))^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}}{1+r} \right) (x_t + \bar{h} - 1)$$

Deterministic Income

- Since $X_t = W_t + \Gamma_t$, then $x_t = w_t + 1$
- Rewrite Intertemporal Budget Constraint:

$$c(x_t) = \left(1 - \frac{(\beta(1+r))^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}}{1+r} \right) (x_t + \bar{h} - 1)$$

- Define: **Marginal propensity to consume out of transitory income**

$$c'(x_t) = \left(1 - \frac{(\beta(1+r))^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}}{1+r} \right)$$

Deterministic Income

- Since $X_t = W_t + \Gamma_t$, then $x_t = w_t + 1$
- Rewrite Intertemporal Budget Constraint:

$$c(x_t) = \left(1 - \frac{(\beta(1+r))^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}}{1+r} \right) (x_t + \bar{h} - 1)$$

- Define: **Marginal propensity to consume out of transitory income**

$$c'(x_t) = \left(1 - \frac{(\beta(1+r))^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}}{1+r} \right)$$

- Let $\beta = 0.96$, $r = 0$, $\sigma = 2.0$,

Deterministic Income

- Since $X_t = W_t + \Gamma_t$, then $x_t = w_t + 1$
- Rewrite Intertemporal Budget Constraint:

$$c(x_t) = \left(1 - \frac{(\beta(1+r))^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}}{1+r}\right) (x_t + \bar{h} - 1)$$

- Define: **Marginal propensity to consume out of transitory income**

$$c'(x_t) = \left(1 - \frac{(\beta(1+r))^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}}{1+r}\right)$$

- Let $\beta = 0.96$, $r = 0$, $\sigma = 2.0$,
then $c'(x) = 1 - 0.96^{1/2} \approx 0.0202$

Deterministic Income

- Since $X_t = W_t + \Gamma_t$, then $x_t = w_t + 1$
- Rewrite Intertemporal Budget Constraint:

$$c(x_t) = \left(1 - \frac{(\beta(1+r))^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}}{1+r}\right) (x_t + \bar{h} - 1)$$

- Define: **Marginal propensity to consume out of transitory income**

$$c'(x_t) = \left(1 - \frac{(\beta(1+r))^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}}{1+r}\right)$$

- Let $\beta = 0.96$, $r = 0$, $\sigma = 2.0$,
then $c'(x) = 1 - 0.96^{1/2} \approx 0.0202$
- Small consumption response

Deterministic Income

- Define: **MPC out of permanent income**

$$\frac{\partial C_t}{\partial \Gamma_t} = \left(1 - \frac{(\beta(1+r))^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}}{1+r} \right) \frac{\partial(W_t + H_t)}{\partial \Gamma_t}$$

- Define: **MPC out of permanent income**

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial C_t}{\partial \Gamma_t} &= \left(1 - \frac{(\beta(1+r))^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}}{1+r}\right) \frac{\partial(W_t + H_t)}{\partial \Gamma_t} \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{(\beta(1+r))^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}}{1+r}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \Gamma_t} \frac{1+r}{r-\gamma} \Gamma_t\end{aligned}$$

- Define: **MPC out of permanent income**

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial C_t}{\partial \Gamma_t} &= \left(1 - \frac{(\beta(1+r))^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}}{1+r}\right) \frac{\partial(W_t + H_t)}{\partial \Gamma_t} \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{(\beta(1+r))^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}}{1+r}\right) \frac{1+r}{r-\gamma}\end{aligned}$$

Deterministic Income

- Define: **MPC out of permanent income**

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial C_t}{\partial \Gamma_t} &= \left(1 - \frac{(\beta(1+r))^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}}{1+r}\right) \frac{\partial(W_t + H_t)}{\partial \Gamma_t} \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{(\beta(1+r))^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}}{1+r}\right) \frac{1+r}{r-\gamma}\end{aligned}$$

- If impatience condition violated, $\beta(1+r) = (1+\gamma)^\sigma$

$$\frac{\partial C_t}{\partial \Gamma_t} = \left(1 - \frac{1+\gamma}{1+r}\right) \frac{1+r}{r-\gamma} = 1$$

- Define: **MPC out of permanent income**

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial C_t}{\partial \Gamma_t} &= \left(1 - \frac{(\beta(1+r))^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}}{1+r}\right) \frac{\partial(W_t + H_t)}{\partial \Gamma_t} \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{(\beta(1+r))^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}}{1+r}\right) \frac{1+r}{r-\gamma}\end{aligned}$$

- If impatience condition violated, $\beta(1+r) = (1+\gamma)^\sigma$

$$\frac{\partial C_t}{\partial \Gamma_t} = \left(1 - \frac{1+\gamma}{1+r}\right) \frac{1+r}{r-\gamma} = 1$$

- Otherwise:

$$\frac{\partial C_t}{\partial \Gamma_t} = \left(1 - \frac{(\beta(1+r))^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}}{1+r}\right) \frac{1+r}{r-\gamma} > \left(1 - \frac{1+\gamma}{1+r}\right) \frac{1+r}{r-\gamma} = 1$$

Deterministic Income

$$\frac{\partial C_t}{\partial \Gamma_t} = \left(1 - \frac{(\beta(1+r))^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}}{1+r}\right) \frac{1+r}{r-\gamma} > \left(1 - \frac{1+\gamma}{1+r}\right) \frac{1+r}{r-\gamma} = 1$$

Deterministic Income

$$\frac{\partial C_t}{\partial \Gamma_t} = \left(1 - \frac{(\beta(1+r))^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}}{1+r}\right) \frac{1+r}{r-\gamma} > \left(1 - \frac{1+\gamma}{1+r}\right) \frac{1+r}{r-\gamma} = 1$$

- $\partial C_t / \partial \Gamma_t$ is increasing as $\gamma \rightarrow r$

Deterministic Income

$$\frac{\partial C_t}{\partial \Gamma_t} = \left(1 - \frac{(\beta(1+r))^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}}{1+r}\right) \frac{1+r}{r-\gamma} > \left(1 - \frac{1+\gamma}{1+r}\right) \frac{1+r}{r-\gamma} = 1$$

- $\partial C_t / \partial \Gamma_t$ is increasing as $\gamma \rightarrow r$
- $\gamma \rightarrow r$ implies income grows at a faster rate

Deterministic Income

$$\frac{\partial C_t}{\partial \Gamma_t} = \left(1 - \frac{(\beta(1+r))^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}}{1+r}\right) \frac{1+r}{r-\gamma} > \left(1 - \frac{1+\gamma}{1+r}\right) \frac{1+r}{r-\gamma} = 1$$

- $\partial C_t / \partial \Gamma_t$ is increasing as $\gamma \rightarrow r$
- $\gamma \rightarrow r$ implies income grows at a faster rate
- Concave utility implies a desire to smooth

Deterministic Income

$$\frac{\partial C_t}{\partial \Gamma_t} = \left(1 - \frac{(\beta(1+r))^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}}{1+r}\right) \frac{1+r}{r-\gamma} > \left(1 - \frac{1+\gamma}{1+r}\right) \frac{1+r}{r-\gamma} = 1$$

- $\partial C_t / \partial \Gamma_t$ is increasing as $\gamma \rightarrow r$
- $\gamma \rightarrow r$ implies income grows at a faster rate
- Concave utility implies a desire to smooth
- Impatience condition implies intertemporal substitution from future

Deterministic Income

$$\frac{\partial C_t}{\partial \Gamma_t} = \left(1 - \frac{(\beta(1+r))^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}}{1+r}\right) \frac{1+r}{r-\gamma} > \left(1 - \frac{1+\gamma}{1+r}\right) \frac{1+r}{r-\gamma} = 1$$

- $\partial C_t / \partial \Gamma_t$ is increasing as $\gamma \rightarrow r$
- $\gamma \rightarrow r$ implies income grows at a faster rate
- Concave utility implies a desire to smooth
- Impatience condition implies intertemporal substitution from future
- Therefore: Consumer increases C_t more than one-for-one today

- **Add Stochastic Income**

- Transitory shocks:

$$z_t = e^{\varepsilon_t}$$

$$\varepsilon \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \mathcal{N}\left(-\frac{\sigma_\varepsilon^2}{2}, \sigma_\varepsilon^2\right)$$

- Permanent shocks:

$$g_t = (1 + \gamma)e^{\eta_t}$$

$$\eta \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \mathcal{N}\left(-\frac{\sigma_\eta^2}{2}, \sigma_\eta^2\right)$$

Marginal Propensity to Consume out of Transitory Income

$$\frac{\partial c_t(x)}{\partial z_t} = c'_t(x)$$

- If $\sigma_\varepsilon > 0$, must solve numerically
- Let $r = 0$ so that β indexes patience

TABLE: Baseline Parameters

γ	β	r	σ	σ_η^2	σ_ε^2
0.02	0.96	0	2.0	0.1	0.1

Stochastic Income

Comparative Statics

(1) MPC out of Transitory Income, (2) Average Wealth, (3) Target Wealth:

TABLE: Baseline and Parameter Deviations

	(1)	(2)	(3)
Baseline	0.33	0.35	0.32
$\beta = 1$			

Stochastic Income

Comparative Statics

(1) MPC out of Transitory Income, (2) Average Wealth, (3) Target Wealth:

TABLE: Baseline and Parameter Deviations

	(1)	(2)	(3)
Baseline	0.33	0.35	0.32
$\beta = 1$	0.15	0.66	0.61
$\beta = 0.90$			

Stochastic Income

Comparative Statics

(1) MPC out of Transitory Income, (2) Average Wealth, (3) Target Wealth:

TABLE: Baseline and Parameter Deviations

	(1)	(2)	(3)
Baseline	0.33	0.35	0.32
$\beta = 1$	0.15	0.66	0.61
$\beta = 0.90$	0.46	0.25	0.23
$r = 0.02$			

Stochastic Income

Comparative Statics

(1) MPC out of Transitory Income, (2) Average Wealth, (3) Target Wealth:

TABLE: Baseline and Parameter Deviations

	(1)	(2)	(3)
Baseline	0.33	0.35	0.32
$\beta = 1$	0.15	0.66	0.61
$\beta = 0.90$	0.46	0.25	0.23
$r = 0.02$	0.26	0.45	0.42
$r = 0.04$			

Stochastic Income

Comparative Statics

(1) MPC out of Transitory Income, (2) Average Wealth, (3) Target Wealth:

TABLE: Baseline and Parameter Deviations

	(1)	(2)	(3)
Baseline	0.33	0.35	0.32
$\beta = 1$	0.15	0.66	0.61
$\beta = 0.90$	0.46	0.25	0.23
$r = 0.02$	0.26	0.45	0.42
$r = 0.04$	0.17	0.65	0.61
$\sigma = 1$			

Stochastic Income

Comparative Statics

(1) MPC out of Transitory Income, (2) Average Wealth, (3) Target Wealth:

TABLE: Baseline and Parameter Deviations

	(1)	(2)	(3)
Baseline	0.33	0.35	0.32
$\beta = 1$	0.15	0.66	0.61
$\beta = 0.90$	0.46	0.25	0.23
$r = 0.02$	0.26	0.45	0.42
$r = 0.04$	0.17	0.65	0.61
$\sigma = 1$	0.49	0.14	0.11
$\sigma = 5$			

Stochastic Income

Comparative Statics

(1) MPC out of Transitory Income, (2) Average Wealth, (3) Target Wealth:

TABLE: Baseline and Parameter Deviations

	(1)	(2)	(3)
Baseline	0.33	0.35	0.32
$\beta = 1$	0.15	0.66	0.61
$\beta = 0.90$	0.46	0.25	0.23
$r = 0.02$	0.26	0.45	0.42
$r = 0.04$	0.17	0.65	0.61
$\sigma = 1$	0.49	0.14	0.11
$\sigma = 5$	0.14	1.13	1.08

Marginal Propensity to Consume out of Permanent Income

- Change in C_{t+1} w.r.t. the change in Γ_{t+1} caused by η_{t+1}

$$(1 + \gamma)\Gamma_t\mu(a_{t+1}) \equiv \mathbb{E}_t \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial e^{\eta_{t+1}}} C_{t+1} \right]$$

$$(1 + \gamma)\Gamma_t\mu(a_{t+1}) \equiv \mathbb{E}_t \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial e^{\eta_{t+1}}} \Gamma_{t+1}c(x_{t+1}) \right]$$

$$= (1 + \gamma)\Gamma_t\mathbb{E}_t \left[c(x_{t+1}) + e^{\eta_{t+1}}c'(x_{t+1})\frac{\partial x_{t+1}}{\partial e^{\eta_{t+1}}} \right]$$

$$\mu(a_{t+1}) = \mathbb{E}_t \left[c(x_{t+1}) - c'(x_{t+1})e^{-\eta_{t+1}}\frac{1+r}{1+\gamma}a_{t+1} \right]$$

Stochastic Income

Take $\sigma_\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and $\sigma_\eta \rightarrow 0$:

$$\mu(a_{t+1}) = c(x_{t+1}) - c'(x_{t+1}) \frac{1+r}{1+\gamma} a_{t+1}$$

Take $\sigma_\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and $\sigma_\eta \rightarrow 0$:

$$\begin{aligned}\mu(a_{t+1}) &= c(x_{t+1}) - c'(x_{t+1}) \frac{1+r}{1+\gamma} a_{t+1} \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{(\beta(1+r))^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}}{1+r}\right) (w_{t+1} + \bar{h}) - \left(1 - \frac{(\beta(1+r))^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}}{1+r}\right) \frac{1+r}{1+\gamma} a_{t+1}\end{aligned}$$

Stochastic Income

Take $\sigma_\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and $\sigma_\eta \rightarrow 0$:

$$\begin{aligned}\mu(a_{t+1}) &= c(x_{t+1}) - c'(x_{t+1}) \frac{1+r}{1+\gamma} a_{t+1} \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{(\beta(1+r))^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}}{1+r}\right) (w_{t+1} + \bar{h}) - \left(1 - \frac{(\beta(1+r))^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}}{1+r}\right) \frac{1+r}{1+\gamma} a_{t+1} \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{(\beta(1+r))^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}}{1+r}\right) \left(\frac{1+r}{1+\gamma} a_{t+1} + \frac{1+r}{r-\gamma} - \frac{1+r}{1+\gamma} a_{t+1}\right)\end{aligned}$$

Stochastic Income

Take $\sigma_\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and $\sigma_\eta \rightarrow 0$:

$$\begin{aligned}\mu(a_{t+1}) &= c(x_{t+1}) - c'(x_{t+1}) \frac{1+r}{1+\gamma} a_{t+1} \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{(\beta(1+r))^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}}{1+r}\right) (w_{t+1} + \bar{h}) - \left(1 - \frac{(\beta(1+r))^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}}{1+r}\right) \frac{1+r}{1+\gamma} a_{t+1} \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{(\beta(1+r))^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}}{1+r}\right) \left(\frac{1+r}{1+\gamma} a_{t+1} + \frac{1+r}{r-\gamma} - \frac{1+r}{1+\gamma} a_{t+1}\right) \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{(\beta(1+r))^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}}{1+r}\right) \frac{1+r}{r-\gamma}\end{aligned}$$

Stochastic Income

Take $\sigma_\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and $\sigma_\eta \rightarrow 0$:

$$\begin{aligned}\mu(a_{t+1}) &= c(x_{t+1}) - c'(x_{t+1}) \frac{1+r}{1+\gamma} a_{t+1} \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{(\beta(1+r))^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}}{1+r}\right) (w_{t+1} + \bar{h}) - \left(1 - \frac{(\beta(1+r))^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}}{1+r}\right) \frac{1+r}{1+\gamma} a_{t+1} \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{(\beta(1+r))^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}}{1+r}\right) \left(\frac{1+r}{1+\gamma} a_{t+1} + \frac{1+r}{r-\gamma} - \frac{1+r}{1+\gamma} a_{t+1}\right) \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{(\beta(1+r))^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}}{1+r}\right) \frac{1+r}{r-\gamma} = \frac{\partial C_t}{\partial \Gamma_t} \quad \text{for Deterministic Case}\end{aligned}$$

Characterizing $\mu(a')$

- Proposition 1: If $a^* \equiv x^* - c(x^*) > 0$, then $\mu(a^*) < 1$.
 - Proof: See lecture notes, similar to “target cash-in-hand” x^* .
 - *Intuition*: Near wealth target a^* , agent weakly responds (< 1) to shocks

Characterizing $\mu(a')$

- Proposition 1: If $a^* \equiv x^* - c(x^*) > 0$, then $\mu(a^*) < 1$.
 - Proof: See lecture notes, similar to “target cash-in-hand” x^* .
 - *Intuition*: Near wealth target a^* , agent weakly responds (< 1) to shocks
- Proposition 2: The MPC out of permanent shocks is increasing in savings:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial a_{t+1}} \mu(a_{t+1}) > 0$$

- Proof: Simply take the derivative.

Stochastic Income

Characterizing $\mu(a')$

Proof: Take a straightforward derivative:

$$\frac{\partial \mu(a_{t+1})}{\partial a_{t+1}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial a_{t+1}} \mathbb{E}_t \left[c(x_{t+1}) + c'(x_{t+1}) e^{-\eta_{t+1}} \frac{1+r}{1+\gamma} a_{t+1} \right]$$

Stochastic Income

Characterizing $\mu(a')$

Proof: Take a straightforward derivative:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial \mu(a_{t+1})}{\partial a_{t+1}} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial a_{t+1}} \mathbb{E}_t \left[c(x_{t+1}) + c'(x_{t+1}) e^{-\eta_{t+1}} \frac{1+r}{1+\gamma} a_{t+1} \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_t \left[c'(x_{t+1}) e^{-\eta_{t+1}} \frac{1+r}{1+\gamma} - c''(x_{t+1}) \left(e^{-\eta_{t+1}} \frac{1+r}{1+\gamma} \right)^2 a_{t+1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - c'(x_{t+1}) e^{-\eta_{t+1}} \frac{1+r}{1+\gamma} \right]\end{aligned}$$

Stochastic Income

Characterizing $\mu(a')$

Proof: Take a straightforward derivative:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial \mu(a_{t+1})}{\partial a_{t+1}} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial a_{t+1}} \mathbb{E}_t \left[c(x_{t+1}) + c'(x_{t+1}) e^{-\eta_{t+1}} \frac{1+r}{1+\gamma} a_{t+1} \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_t \left[c'(x_{t+1}) e^{-\eta_{t+1}} \frac{1+r}{1+\gamma} - c''(x_{t+1}) \left(e^{-\eta_{t+1}} \frac{1+r}{1+\gamma} \right)^2 a_{t+1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - c'(x_{t+1}) e^{-\eta_{t+1}} \frac{1+r}{1+\gamma} \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_t \left[-c''(x_{t+1}) \left(e^{-\eta_{t+1}} \frac{1+r}{1+\gamma} \right)^2 a_{t+1} \right] > 0\end{aligned}$$

Numerical Characterization of $\mu(a')$

TABLE: Comparative Statics with respect to β

β	Mean a_{t+1}	Mean $c'(x_t)$	Mean $\mu(a_{t+1})$
1.00	1.14	0.08	0.91
0.98			

Stochastic Income

Numerical Characterization of $\mu(a')$

TABLE: Comparative Statics with respect to β

β	Mean a_{t+1}	Mean $c'(x_t)$	Mean $\mu(a_{t+1})$
1.00	1.14	0.08	0.91
0.98	0.77		

- Consume more and save less today

Numerical Characterization of $\mu(a')$

TABLE: Comparative Statics with respect to β

β	Mean a_{t+1}	Mean $c'(x_t)$	Mean $\mu(a_{t+1})$
1.00	1.14	0.08	0.91
0.98	0.77	0.18	

- Consume more and save less today
- Consumes more and is more responsive to transitory shocks

Stochastic Income

Numerical Characterization of $\mu(a')$

TABLE: Comparative Statics with respect to β

β	Mean a_{t+1}	Mean $c'(x_t)$	Mean $\mu(a_{t+1})$
1.00	1.14	0.08	0.91
0.98	0.77	0.18	0.87

- Consume more and save less today
- Consumes more and is more responsive to transitory shocks
- But less responsive to permanent shocks

Stochastic Income

Numerical Characterization of $\mu(a')$

TABLE: Comparative Statics with respect to β

β	Mean a_{t+1}	Mean $c'(x_t)$	Mean $\mu(a_{t+1})$
1.00	1.14	0.08	0.91
0.98	0.77	0.18	0.87
0.96	0.64	0.24	0.85
0.94	0.59	0.28	0.84

- Consume more and save less today
- Consumes more and is more responsive to transitory shocks
- But less responsive to permanent shocks

Testable Implications

Ludvigson and Michaelides (2001) -overview-

- Evaluate in Buffer Stock Model:
Excess Sensitivity and *Excess Smoothness*

Testable Implications

Ludvigson and Michaelides (2001) -overview-

- Evaluate in Buffer Stock Model:
Excess Sensitivity and *Excess Smoothness*
- Excess Smoothness:

	Data (quarterly)	Buffer Stock Model	PIH Model
$\sigma_{\Delta_c}/\sigma_{\Delta_y}$	0.68	1.09	1.26

- Accounts for $(1.26 - 1.09)/(1.26 - 0.68) \approx 1/3$ of the gap
- Note $\mu(a_{t+1}) < 1$ is the *immediate response* to shocks

Testable Implications

Ludvigson and Michaelides (2001) -overview-

- Evaluate in Buffer Stock Model:
Excess Sensitivity and *Excess Smoothness*
- Excess Smoothness:
 - Accounts for approximately 1/3 of the gap

Testable Implications

Ludvigson and Michaelides (2001) -overview-

- Evaluate in Buffer Stock Model:
Excess Sensitivity and *Excess Smoothness*
- Excess Smoothness:
 - Accounts for approximately 1/3 of the gap
- Excess Sensitivity:

$$\Delta \log(C_t) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \Delta \log(Y_{t-1}) + \epsilon_t$$

	Data (quarterly)	Buffer Stock Model	PIH Model
β_1	0.16	0.06	0.00

- Accounts for approximately 1/2 of the gap

Testable Implications

Ludvigson and Michaelides (2001) -overview-

- Evaluate in Buffer Stock Model:
Excess Sensitivity and *Excess Smoothness*
- Excess Smoothness:
 - Accounts for approximately 1/3 of the gap
- Excess Sensitivity:
 - Accounts for approximately 1/2 of the gap

Testable Implications

Ludvigson and Michaelides (2001) -overview-

- Evaluate in Buffer Stock Model:
Excess Sensitivity and *Excess Smoothness*
- Excess Smoothness:
 - Accounts for approximately 1/3 of the gap
- Excess Sensitivity:
 - Accounts for approximately 1/2 of the gap
- Any way to account for a larger portion of gap?

Testable Implications

- Incomplete Information:

Testable Implications

- Incomplete Information:
 - Include aggregate shocks

Testable Implications

- Incomplete Information:
 - Include aggregate shocks
 - Agents observe income

Testable Implications

- Incomplete Information:
 - Include aggregate shocks
 - Agents observe income
 - Do not separately observe transitory/permanent/aggregate shocks

Testable Implications

- Incomplete Information:
 - Include aggregate shocks
 - Agents observe income
 - Do not separately observe transitory/permanent/aggregate shocks
- Excess Smoothness Mechanism:

Testable Implications

- Incomplete Information:
 - Include aggregate shocks
 - Agents observe income
 - Do not separately observe transitory/permanent/aggregate shocks
- Excess Smoothness Mechanism:
 - Incorrectly attribute part of aggregate to transitory shocks

Testable Implications

- Incomplete Information:
 - Include aggregate shocks
 - Agents observe income
 - Do not separately observe transitory/permanent/aggregate shocks
- Excess Smoothness Mechanism:
 - Incorrectly attribute part of aggregate to transitory shocks
 - Weakly respond to transitory shocks

Testable Implications

- Incomplete Information:
 - Include aggregate shocks
 - Agents observe income
 - Do not separately observe transitory/permanent/aggregate shocks
- Excess Smoothness Mechanism:
 - Incorrectly attribute part of aggregate to transitory shocks
 - Weakly respond to transitory shocks
 - *Smooths consumption relative to true income shocks*

Testable Implications

- Incomplete Information:
 - Include aggregate shocks
 - Agents observe income
 - Do not separately observe transitory/permanent/aggregate shocks
- Excess Smoothness Mechanism:
 - Incorrectly attribute part of aggregate to transitory shocks
 - Weakly respond to transitory shocks
 - *Smooths consumption relative to true income shocks*
- Excess Sensitivity Mechanism:

Testable Implications

- Incomplete Information:
 - Include aggregate shocks
 - Agents observe income
 - Do not separately observe transitory/permanent/aggregate shocks
- Excess Smoothness Mechanism:
 - Incorrectly attribute part of aggregate to transitory shocks
 - Weakly respond to transitory shocks
 - *Smooths consumption relative to true income shocks*
- Excess Sensitivity Mechanism:
 - If actually a positive aggregate income shock,

Testable Implications

- Incomplete Information:
 - Include aggregate shocks
 - Agents observe income
 - Do not separately observe transitory/permanent/aggregate shocks
- Excess Smoothness Mechanism:
 - Incorrectly attribute part of aggregate to transitory shocks
 - Weakly respond to transitory shocks
 - *Smooths consumption relative to true income shocks*
- Excess Sensitivity Mechanism:
 - If actually a positive aggregate income shock,
 - Then next period's income is larger than expected

Testable Implications

- Incomplete Information:
 - Include aggregate shocks
 - Agents observe income
 - Do not separately observe transitory/permanent/aggregate shocks
- Excess Smoothness Mechanism:
 - Incorrectly attribute part of aggregate to transitory shocks
 - Weakly respond to transitory shocks
 - *Smooths consumption relative to true income shocks*
- Excess Sensitivity Mechanism:
 - If actually a positive aggregate income shock,
 - Then next period's income is larger than expected
 - Agent saves the unconsumed portion

Testable Implications

- Incomplete Information:
 - Include aggregate shocks
 - Agents observe income
 - Do not separately observe transitory/permanent/aggregate shocks
- Excess Smoothness Mechanism:
 - Incorrectly attribute part of aggregate to transitory shocks
 - Weakly respond to transitory shocks
 - *Smooths consumption relative to true income shocks*
- Excess Sensitivity Mechanism:
 - If actually a positive aggregate income shock,
 - Then next period's income is larger than expected
 - Agent saves the unconsumed portion
 - Next period's consumption increases with unexpected lagged income

Testable Implications

Ludvigson and Michaelides (2001) -overview-

- Evaluate in Buffer Stock Model with Incomplete Information:
Excess Sensitivity and *Excess Smoothness*

Testable Implications

Ludvigson and Michaelides (2001) -overview-

- Evaluate in Buffer Stock Model with Incomplete Information:
Excess Sensitivity and *Excess Smoothness*
- Excess Smoothness:

	Data (qtr)	Incomplete Info	Buffer Stock	PIH
$\sigma_{\Delta_c} / \sigma_{\Delta_y}$	0.68	0.91	1.09	1.26

Testable Implications

Ludvigson and Michaelides (2001) -overview-

- Evaluate in Buffer Stock Model with Incomplete Information:
Excess Sensitivity and *Excess Smoothness*
- Excess Smoothness:

	Data (qtr)	Incomplete Info	Buffer Stock	PIH
$\sigma_{\Delta_c}/\sigma_{\Delta_y}$	0.68	0.91	1.09	1.26

- Excess Sensitivity:

$$\Delta \log(C_t) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \Delta \log(Y_{t-1}) + \epsilon_t$$

	Data (qtr)	Incomplete Info	Buffer Stock	PIH
β_1	0.16	0.43	0.06	0.00

Next Steps

Just Finished

- Marginal Propensity to Consume
- Developed a quantitatively relevant model
- Characterized and evaluated the model

Next Steps

Just Finished

- Marginal Propensity to Consume
- Developed a quantitatively relevant model
- Characterized and evaluated the model

Next Steps

- Study macroeconomic outcomes implied by model of micro-behavior

Next Steps

Just Finished

- Marginal Propensity to Consume
- Developed a quantitatively relevant model
- Characterized and evaluated the model

Next Steps

- Study macroeconomic outcomes implied by model of micro-behavior
- Want discipline on price determination

Next Steps

Just Finished

- Marginal Propensity to Consume
- Developed a quantitatively relevant model
- Characterized and evaluated the model

Next Steps

- Study macroeconomic outcomes implied by model of micro-behavior
- Want discipline on price determination
- **General Equilibrium:**

Next Steps

Just Finished

- Marginal Propensity to Consume
- Developed a quantitatively relevant model
- Characterized and evaluated the model

Next Steps

- Study macroeconomic outcomes implied by model of micro-behavior
- Want discipline on price determination
- **General Equilibrium:**
 - Prices respond to changes in supply and demand

Next Steps

Just Finished

- Marginal Propensity to Consume
- Developed a quantitatively relevant model
- Characterized and evaluated the model

Next Steps

- Study macroeconomic outcomes implied by model of micro-behavior
- Want discipline on price determination
- **General Equilibrium:**
 - Prices respond to changes in supply and demand
 - Must be consistent with national accounts

Next Steps

Just Finished

- Marginal Propensity to Consume
- Developed a quantitatively relevant model
- Characterized and evaluated the model

Next Steps

- Study macroeconomic outcomes implied by model of micro-behavior
- Want discipline on price determination
- **General Equilibrium:**
 - Prices respond to changes in supply and demand
 - Must be consistent with national accounts
- Study policy implications