

DISCUSSION OF:
“THE SECULAR DECLINE IN
BUSINESS DYNAMISM IN THE U.S.”
BY DHJM, 2014

Erick Sager

**Bureau of Labor Statistics
Division of Price and Index Number Research**

BLS-Census Conference

May 19, 2014

Contribution:

- Trend decline in Job Creation, Job Destruction and Firm Entry
- Account for job flows' decline with cross-firm composition
 - **Age, industry**, size, location
 - Sizable compositional heterogeneity
 - Modest explanatory power (15%)
- Newer evidence: High growth and volatility firms decline post-2000

Decomposition

$$F_t - F_{t-1} = \underbrace{\sum_{i \in \mathcal{C}} s_{i,t-1} \Delta F_{i,t}}_{\text{within}} + \underbrace{\sum_{i \in \mathcal{C}} F_{i,t} \Delta s_{i,t}}_{\text{between}}$$

- **Age component:** accounts for 26% of **declining** reallocation
 - Young firms have highest job flows
 - Share of young firms declining
- **Industry component:** accounts for 13% of **increasing** reallocation
 - Faster decline in Services/Retail vs. Manufacturing
 - Industry Composition: Services/Retail growing vs. Manufacturing
 - But: Services/Retail has higher **level** of flows
 - Level effect dominates trend decline
- **Net Effect:** Offsetting age / industry effects
 - All jointly account for 15% of decline in reallocation

DHJM are ultimately after:

- Do declining flows indicate an inefficient allocation of resources?
- If so, is this inefficiency harmful?
 - What is the impact on aggregate productivity, growth and welfare?
- If so, is there a relevant policy intervention?

This Discussion

- Do declining flows indicate an inefficient allocation of resources?
- **Need a model**
 - Definition of inefficiency
 - Framework for studying sources / impact of inefficiency
- Answer: **Ambiguous**
 - Declining flows can be due to firm-level distortions
 - Declining flows can be due to lower distortions
 - Need data to disentangle channels (model informs this)

Model Economy

- Develop a stylized industry equilibrium model
 - Model: Hopenhayn (1992), Melitz (2003), Luttmer (2007)
 - Frictions: Hsieh and Klenow (2014)
 - Recent: Jaef (2014)
- Key Ingredients:
 - Focus on age-dependent distortions
 - Study impact of distortions on firm entry
- 10 minute discussion... let's discuss details afterward!

Final Good Production

- Perfectly competitive final good sector
- Purchases intermediate inputs of each variety at prices $\{p(z)\}$
- CES Production function:

$$Q = \left(\int q(z)^{\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma}} dM(z) \right)^{\frac{\sigma}{\sigma-1}}$$

- Standard inverse-demand:

$$p(z) = \left(\frac{q(z)}{Q} \right)^{-1/\sigma} P$$

Intermediate Goods Production

- Continuum of intermediate producers, $M(z)$
- Produces with technology, $q = z^{\frac{1}{\sigma-1}} l$
- Draws “idiosyncratic wedge” from $G(\tau|z)$
- Profits:

$$\Pi(z) = \max_{q(z), l(z)} \left\{ (1 - \tau)p(z)q(z) - wl(z) \right\}$$

Firm Dynamics

- **Time discounting** at interest rate, $r > 0$
- Productivity grows with age, $z_a = e^{\gamma a}$
- Exogenous exit, $\delta > 0$ (no period fixed costs)
- Pareto firm size distribution is stationary if $\gamma < \delta$
(c.f. Luttmer, 2007)

Firm Entry

- Idiosyncratic distortions affect firm entry, M_e
- Fixed entry cost, $f_e > 0$
- Free Entry Condition: $v_e \geq wf_e$
- Entry Value:

$$v_e = \frac{\gamma\pi}{r + \delta} \cdot \Omega_e$$

$$\Omega_e \triangleq \int z(1 - \tau)^\sigma G(\tau|z) f(z) d\hat{M}(z)$$

$$f(z) = \left(\frac{r + \delta}{\gamma} \right) \cdot z^{-(1 + \frac{r + \delta}{\gamma})}$$

Misallocation

- Idiosyncratic distortions affect aggregate productivity, $Z = Q/L$

$$Z = M^{\frac{1}{\sigma-1}} \cdot \Omega^{-1} \Lambda^{\frac{\sigma}{\sigma-1}}$$

$$\Omega = \int z(1-\tau)^{\sigma} G(\tau|z)h(z)d\hat{M}(z)$$

$$\Lambda = \int z(1-\tau)^{\sigma-1} G(\tau|z)h(z)d\hat{M}(z)$$

$$h(z) = \left(\frac{\delta}{\gamma}\right) z^{-(1+\frac{\delta}{\gamma})}$$

- Frictionless Productivity ($\tau = 0$ for all firms):

$$Z^* = (M^* \Omega^*)^{\frac{1}{\sigma-1}}$$

Entry Distortions

- Can show:

$$\frac{M_e}{M_e^*} = \frac{1 + \phi}{\left(\frac{\Omega/\Omega^*}{\Omega_e/\Omega_e^*} \right) + \phi}$$

- Let $\tau \neq 0$. If $r > 0$, then:

$$\frac{\Omega}{\Omega^*} \neq \frac{\Omega_e}{\Omega_e^*}$$

$$M_e \neq M_e^*$$

- Intuition: Backloading

- If $r = 0$, firm only cares about static profits
- $r > 0$ provides a dynamic role for distortions

Age-Dependent Distortions (Case 1) _____

- Suppose we **subsidize old**, tax **young** firms

$$G(\tilde{\tau}|z_a) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1/2 & \text{if } z_a < z^{med}, \tilde{\tau} = \tau \\ 1/2 & \text{if } z_a > z^{med}, \tilde{\tau} = -\tau \end{array} \right\}$$

- Compute distortions:

$$\frac{\Omega}{\Omega^*} = \left[(1/2)^{\frac{\delta-\gamma}{\delta}} \cdot (1-\tau)^\sigma \right] + \left[\left(1 - (1/2)^{\frac{\delta-\gamma}{\delta}} \right) \cdot (1+\tau)^\sigma \right]$$

$$\frac{\Omega_e}{\Omega_e^*} = \left[(1/2)^{\frac{r+\delta-\gamma}{\delta}} \cdot (1-\tau)^\sigma \right] + \left[\left(1 - (1/2)^{\frac{r+\delta-\gamma}{\delta}} \right) \cdot (1+\tau)^\sigma \right]$$

- Then:

$$\boxed{\frac{\Omega/\Omega^*}{\Omega_e/\Omega_e^*} > 1 \quad \implies \quad \frac{M_e}{M_e^*} < 1}$$

Age-Dependent Distortions (Case 2)

- Suppose we **tax old**, **subsidize young** firms

$$G(\tilde{\tau}|z_a) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1/2 & \text{if } z_a < z^{med}, \tilde{\tau} = -\tau \\ 1/2 & \text{if } z_a > z^{med}, \tilde{\tau} = \tau \end{array} \right\}$$

- Compute distortions:

$$\frac{\Omega}{\Omega^*} = \left[(1/2)^{\frac{\delta-\gamma}{\delta}} \cdot (1+\tau)^\sigma \right] + \left[\left(1 - (1/2)^{\frac{\delta-\gamma}{\delta}} \right) \cdot (1-\tau)^\sigma \right]$$

$$\frac{\Omega_e}{\Omega_e^*} = \left[(1/2)^{\frac{r+\delta-\gamma}{\delta}} \cdot (1+\tau)^\sigma \right] + \left[\left(1 - (1/2)^{\frac{r+\delta-\gamma}{\delta}} \right) \cdot (1-\tau)^\sigma \right]$$

- Then:

$$\boxed{\frac{\Omega/\Omega^*}{\Omega_e/\Omega_e^*} < 1 \quad \implies \quad \frac{M_e}{M_e^*} > 1}$$

Intuition:

- Interest rates determine impact of age-varying distortions on entry
- $r > 0$ and subsidize old, tax young firms
 - Less value on receiving subsidy at old-age
 - Distortions reduce entry flows
- But taxing old, subsidizing young **increases** entry incentives
 - $r > 0$ implies less profit backloading
 - Distortions increase entry flows!
- Observationally equivalent entry flows:
 - Subsize old, tax young
 - Tax old, subsidize young but decreasing size over time
 - Which one is it?

Misallocation and Entry

- $Z = M^{\frac{1}{\sigma-1}} \Omega^{-1} \Lambda^{\frac{\sigma}{\sigma-1}}$
- Increasing distortions ($\tau \uparrow$) implies
 - Decrease in $\Omega^{-1} \Lambda^{\frac{\sigma}{\sigma-1}}$
 - Number of firms M ambiguous!
 - M can offset other TFP distortions
- Sager (2013):
 - Micro-found age-dependent distortion as financial friction
 - Embed in Aghion-Howitt model
 - Distortions decrease M and productivity growth
 - Decline in high growth / IT firms?

This Discussion

- Do declining flows indicate an inefficient allocation of resources?
- Need evidence: how distortions differentially affect young and old firms
- Disentangle source of decline in entry (business dynamism)
 - Due to increasing distortions (case 2)?
 - Due to decreasing distortions (case 1)?
 - Distribution of distortions matter
- Also ambiguous effect on aggregate productivity
- Similar exercises could be done with
 - heterogeneous industries
 - high growth / volatility firms

This Paper

- Very interesting work!
- Opens up many questions for future research
- Highlights the need for
 - Re-examining existing data
 - Collecting new data
 - BLS and Census are fighting the good fight

Thank You!